

December 21, 2018 Updated: January 8, 2019

Ms. Roxanne Case Grant Manager Ingham County Land Bank 3024 Turner Street Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan 48906

Re: Pre-Renovation Regulated Materials Survey 712 Brook St., Lansing, Ingham County, MI

Dear Ms. Case:

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) is pleased to present Ingham County with the results of the limited prerenovation regulated materials survey (RMS) performed at 712 Brook St., Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan (hereinafter referred to as the "Site") by Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903).

SUMMARY

Building Information						
Property Address	712 Brook St., Lansing, MI					
Parcel #	33-01-01-09-306-021					
No. Stories	2					
Square Footage (approx.)	1,000 SF					
Siding	Asphalt					
Basement	Yes					
Garage	Yes					



Asbestos Containing Material								
Location	Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Asbestos	Quantity				
All rooms	Plaster	Non Friable	6% Chrysotile	3,000 SF				
RM-1, RM-2, RM-3, RM-8, RM-9, RM-10	Vent Wrap	Non Friable	65% Chrysotile	200 SF				
Basement	Duct Wrap	Non Friable	75% Chrysotile	150 SF				
RM-8	Tan Linoleum	Non Friable	20% Chrysotile	80 SF				
Basement	Stack Cement	Non Friable	12% Chrysotile	5 SF				
Exterior	Exterior Caulk	Non Friable	2% Chrysotile	200 LF (24 Doors/Windows)				



Universal Waste Inventory							
Location Material Description Quantity							
RM-2, RM-7	Smoke Detector	2					
RM-1	Fluorescent Bulb	2					
RM-6	1 Gallon Household Batteries	2					
Basement	Computer	6					

Other Regulated Materials Inventory							
Location Material Description Quantity							
Basement	Washing Machine	2					
Basement	Dryer	1					
RM-6, Basement	Refrigerator	2					
RM-2, Basement	Space Heater	3					
RM-7	Window AC Unit	1					
RM-4	Microwave	1					

Hazardous Materials						
Location	Material Description	Quantity				
** No hazardous materials were found on site**						

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the RMS was to identify, quantify and document the location of regulated materials that may be encountered during renovation of the on-site structure. To accomplish this purpose, MSG performed the following scope of work:

- 1) Pre-renovation asbestos-containing material (ACM) survey.
- 2) Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated wastes survey.

METHODOLOGIES

The RMS was conducted on December 5, 2018. Methodologies employed during the completion of each task of the RMS are detailed below.

ACM Survey Procedures

The ACM survey was performed in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763. The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations govern demolition and renovation activities in which asbestos is present. The NESHAP rule distinguishes between Regulated Asbestos-Containing Materials (RACM) that would readily release asbestos fibers when damaged or disturbed and those materials that are unlikely to result in significant fiber release during renovation activities. The purpose of this survey is to determine if ACM within the Site building are RACM and thus, subject to the NESHAP, and to comply with the Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration (MIOSHA) and guidelines set forth in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Regulations Standards 29 CFR 1910.1101.

RACM, as defined by NESHAP, is classified into four parts, (1) friable asbestos material, (2) Category I nonfriable ACM (packing, gaskets, floor tile and roofing products) that has become friable, (3) Category I nonfriable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting or abrading, or (4) Category II non-friable ACM (all other ACM products) that has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation operations.

The suspect ACM identified during this survey was grouped into homogeneous materials (i.e. similar materials which are uniform in color and texture) and:

- Described and quantified it in linear feet (LF) or square feet (SF);
- Identified and classified as friable or non-friable;
- Assessed as being in good, fair or poor condition;
- Assigned an EPA classification type (surfacing material, thermal system insulation or miscellaneous);
- Classified as RACM or non-RACM; and
- Sampled, or identified as presumed ACM (PACM).

MSG performed services associated with the ACM survey in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. The ACM survey included a systematic visual inspection of readily accessible areas of the Site building. Destructive sampling methods were used and suspect ACM samples were collected by State of Michigan Accredited Asbestos Inspector, Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903). Based on the quantity of each classification of material, MSG collected samples of each suspect ACM in accordance with EPA guidelines.

Universal Wastes and Hazardous Material Survey Procedures

MSG identified and inventoried universal wastes and hazardous materials by a visual reconnaissance of the Site. Materials were identified, described, and quantified to the extent possible; however, no equipment or containers were opened and/or sampled as part of this survey.

A hazardous material, as defined in OSHA 29 CFR 1910.1200, is any item or chemical which is a "health hazard" or "physical hazard", including the following:

- Chemicals that are carcinogens, toxic or highly toxic agents, reproductive toxins, irritants, corrosives, hepatotoxins, nephrotoxins, neurotoxins, agents that act on the hematopoietic system, and agents that damage the lungs, skin, eyes, or mucous membranes;
- Chemicals that are combustible liquids, compressed gases, explosives, flammable liquids, flammable solids, organic peroxides, oxidizers, pyrophorics, unstable (reactive) or water-reactive;
- Chemicals that, in the course of normal handling, use or storage, may produce or release dusts, gases, fumes, vapors, mists or smoke which have any of the above characteristics; and
- Any item or chemical which, when being transported or moved, is a risk to public safety or an environmental hazard, and is regulated as such by one or more of the following:
 - DOT Department of Transportation; Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR 100-180);
 - IMO International Maritime Organization; International Maritime Dangerous Goods (IMDG) Code;
 - o IATA International Air Transport Association; Dangerous Goods Regulations;
 - o ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization; Technical Instructions; and
 - AF Air Force "INTERSERVICE" Manual, Preparing Hazmat for Military Air Shipments (AFMAN 24-204).

Hazardous materials may also include:

- Any item or chemical listed in the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities, dated September 1992.
- Noticeable as inventory under the reporting requirements of the Hazardous Chemical Reporting (40 CFR Part 302).

 An environmental release under the reporting requirements of the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right To Know (40 CFR Part 372) or under Part 201, Environmental Remediation of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (Part 201) and Part 213, Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (Part 213).

These would include chemicals with special characteristics which, in the opinion of the manufacturer, can cause harm to people, plants, or animals when released by spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other receptacles).

Universal wastes are waste that comes primarily from consumer products containing mercury, lead, cadmium or other substances that are hazardous to human health and the environment. These items cannot be discarded in household trash nor disposed of in landfills but have less stringent handling and disposal requirements than hazardous waste streams. In Michigan, universal wastes are regulated by the MDEQ Office of Waste Management and Radiological Protection under Part 111 of Act 451 and the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 under 40 CFR Part 273. Universal waste is also regulated by the US Department of Transportation (US DOT) under 49 CFR Parts 171 through 180. Most of the universal waste requirements overseen by the DEQ are addressed by R 299.9228 of Part 111 of 1994 P.A. 451, as amended and 40 CFR Part 273. These regulations are designed to encourage proper collection, recycling, treatment, or disposal of these wastes.

Examples of universal waste are mercury-containing equipment (e.g. thermostats, barometers, manometers, temperature and pressure gauges, and mercury switches), nickel-cadmium and spent lead-acid batteries, lamps (e.g. incandescent, fluorescent, high intensity discharge, neon, mercury vapor, and high pressure sodium and metal halide), pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) containing transformers and light ballasts, stored chemical and/or petroleum products, etc. In Michigan, Part 111 also includes pharmaceutical and consumer electronics as additional types of universal wastes.

Other Regulated Materials

This RMS also included identifying and inventorying other regulated materials which may pose physical or chemical concerns during renovation of the Site building(s) including chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) containing devices, tanks, vessels, equipment, and building materials that may contain or become contaminated with hazardous materials.

Specifically, CFC containing devices are regulated Under Title VI of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Stratospheric Protection Division of the EPA manages programs protecting the stratospheric ozone layer. Title 40, Part 82 of the Code of Federal Regulations contains the EPA regulations protecting the ozone layer. The RMS survey of the premises identified and quantified any CFC containers and CFC containing equipment, which could include the following:

- Drinking fountains, air conditioners, refrigerators
- Air conditioners in control panels and other process equipment
- Water and air chillers
- Roof top and stand-alone air conditioners
- Cafeteria equipment: freezers, walk-in coolers/freezers
- CFC canisters and cylinders

In Michigan, underground storage tanks are regulated under the authority of Part 211, Underground Storage Tank Regulations, of Act 451 of 1994, as amended, and the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Rules (MUSTR). Therefore, this survey included whether any evidence of underground storage tanks and related piping and dispensers were present at the Site.

MSG also surveyed for the presence of equipment, other storage tanks, and materials that may contain or be contaminated by regulated chemicals. These include, but may not be comprehensive of:

- Above ground storage tanks
- Oil-containing equipment (hydraulic equipment, blowers, fans, motors, elevators, compressors, etc.)
- Fire brick
- Contaminated building materials (concrete, block walls, wood, plaster, etc.) with staining, odor or other signs of a hazardous chemical release

SURVEY RESULTS

The following subsections include a discussion of the RMS results. Photographs of the residence are located in the *Attachment A, Photo Log.* The results of this report are valid as of the report date, subject to the limitations presented in *Attachment B, Limitations*.

ACM Survey Results

MSG identified eighteen (18) homogenous materials that were suspect as asbestos containing during the ACM survey. Forty three (43) bulk samples were collected from these suspect homogeneous materials and were submitted to Mannik & Smith Group Analytical Laboratories (MSGAL) for laboratory analysis of Bulk Materials by Polarized Light Microscopy using USEPA Method 600/R-93/116. MSGAL is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) to analyzed bulk samples for asbestos content. Of the aforementioned suspect homogenous materials identified during this ACM survey, laboratory analysis found six (6) homogenous materials (samples 1-1, 3-1, 9-1, 11-1, 13-1 and 17-1) contained greater than 1% asbestos. The EPA defines ACM as materials containing greater than 1% asbestos.

A point-count quantification procedure (PCQM) allows for lower detection limits than calibrated visual estimation (CVES), which is the quantification method widely used in asbestos analysis via Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM). If the asbestos content is found to contain less than 10% asbestos as determined by a method other than point counting by PLM, it can only be treated as non-ACM if verified to contain less than 1% by the PCQM. If not point-counted, the sample must be assumed to be greater than 1% and thus considered and treated as ACM. It is MSG's experience that point counting samples with an estimated PLM asbestos content of more than 3% does not yield significantly different analytical results. Sample 17-1 was point counted.

Suspect ACM sample locations are depicted on the attached figure. See *Table 1, Asbestos Sampling Results* for a listing of homogeneous materials identified by MSG during this survey. A copy of the analytical reports including chains of custody is attached in *Attachment C, Analytical Reports and Chains of Custody*.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Survey Results

Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and/or other regulated materials wastes were identified within the Site building. Quantities identified are provided in *Table 2, Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory.*

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Asbestos Containing Materials

Of the eighteen (18) homogenous materials collected as part of the ACM survey, six (6) homogenous materials contained asbestos greater than 1% (samples 1-1, 3-1, 9-1, 11-1, 13-1 and 17-1) with these six (6) homogenous materials being classified as RACM. All materials containing ACM must be disposed of in a licensed landfill.

Prior to renovation, a notification of intent to demolish shall be made to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD) and Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA), Asbestos Program. Notification, according to the procedure described by the NESHAP, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Subpart M, Notification, for renovation and demolition projects should be

followed. A copy of this notification form is provided in *Attachment D, Notification of Intent to Renovate/Demolish*. This form shall be completed by the contractor who completes the renovation.

If additional suspect ACMs are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, shall be surveyed, tested, and abated if warranted. If suspect ACMs are determined to be RACM that would be disturbed during renovation activities, the RACM must be properly removed by a licensed asbestos abatement contractor.

Category I and Category II Non-Friable ACM may often be left in place during renovation activities if the ACM is not subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading or has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material during the course of renovation.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials

The universal waste, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials (see Table 2) must be properly characterized (as necessary) and properly removed from the Site building for recycling and/or disposed of in accordance with Parts 111, 115, or 147 of Michigan Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended. If additional universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, these materials shall be characterized (as necessary) and properly removed in accordance with the above-mentioned regulations.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the above information please contact us at 517-316-9232. Sincerely,

Kory McKay Environmental Scientist Accreditation Number A47903

-L

Charlie Bush Senior Project Manager Accreditation Number A34293

Attachments







721 N. Capitol Avenue, Suite 2, Lansing, Michigan 48906 Tel: 517.316.9232 Fax: 517.316.9233 www.MannikSmithGroup.com







721 N. Capitol Avenue, Suite 2, Lansing, Michigan 48906 Tel: 517.316.9232 Fax: 517.316.9233 www.MannikSmithGroup.com

 Address:
 712 Brook Street
 Date:
 December 5, 2018

Drawing not to scale

Basement

2nd Floor









TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client		Ingham Count	v L and D	ank Authority							
Client Survey Location		Ingham County Land Bank Authority 712 Brook Street									
Survey D			December 5, 2018								
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity	
RM-1	1	AS 1-1	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	6% Chrysotile	3000 SF	
RM-2	1	AS 1-2	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-4	1	AS 1-3	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-7	1	AS 1-4	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-8	2	AS 1-5	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-9	2	AS 1-6	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-10	2	AS 1-7	HA-1	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	3000 SF	
RM-1	1	AS 2-1	HA-2	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	450 SF	
RM-1	1	AS 2-2	HA-2	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	450 SF	
RM-1	1	AS 3-1	HA-3	Vent Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	65% Chrysotille	200 SF	
RM-3	1	AS 3-2	HA-3	Vent Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	200 SF	
RM-8	2	AS 3-3	HA-3	Vent Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	200 SF	
RM-4	1	AS 4-1	HA-4	Brown Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF	
RM-4	1	AS 4-2	HA-4	Brown Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF	
RM-4	1	AS 5-1	HA-5	Tan 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF	
RM-4	1	AS 5-2	HA-5	Tan 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF	
RM-5	1	AS 6-1	HA-6	Beige Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF	

TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

0										
Client Survey Loca		Ingham Count 712 Brook Str		ank Authority						
Survey Da		December 5, 2								
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-5	1	AS 6-2	HA-6	Beige Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF
RM-5	1	AS 7-1	HA-7	Brown 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF
RM-5	1	AS 7-2	HA-7	Brown 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF
RM-6	1	AS 8-1	HA-8	Multi color Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	40 SF
RM-6	1	AS 8-2	HA-8	Multi color Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	40 SF
RM-8	2	AS 9-1	HA-9	Tan Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	20% Chrysotile	80 SF
RM-8	2	AS 9-2	HA-9	Tan Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	80 SF
RM-10	2	AS 10-1	HA-10	Yellow Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-10	2	AS 10-2	HA-10	Yellow Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
Basement	В	AS 11-1	HA-11	Duct Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	75% Chrysotile	150 SF
Basement	В	AS 11-2	HA-11	Duct Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	150 SF
Basement	В	AS 11-3	HA-11	Duct Wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	150 SF
Basement	В	AS 12-1	HA-12	Foundation Concrete	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	800 SF
Basement	В	AS 12-2	HA-12	Foundation Concrete	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	800 SF
Basement	В	AS 13-1	HA-13	Stack Cement	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	12% Chrysotile	5 SF
Basement	В	AS 13-2	HA-13	Stack Cement	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	5 SF

TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client		Ingham County Land Bank Authority								
	ey Location 712 Brook Street									
Survey Da	ate	December 5, 2	2018		-	-				-
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
Basement	В	AS 14-1	HA-14	Basement Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	50 SF
Basement	В	AS 14-2	HA-14	Basement Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	50 SF
Exterior	E	AS 15-1	HA-15	Asphalt Siding	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	2000 SF
Exterior	E	AS 15-2	HA-15	Asphalt Siding	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	2000 SF
Exterior	E	AS 16-1	HA-16	Siding Underlayment	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	2000 SF
Exterior	E	AS 16-2	HA-16	Siding Underlayment	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	2000 SF
Exterior	E	AS 17-1	HA-17	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	2% Chrysotile	200 LF
Exterior	E	AS 17-2	HA-17	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	200 LF
Roof	E	AS 18-1	HA-18	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1100 SF
Roof	E	AS 18-2	HA-18	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1100 SF

Table 2 Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory 712 Brook Street Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan

Universal Waste Inventory							
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
Rm-2, Rm-7	Smoke Detector	2					
RM-1	Fluorescent Bulb	2					
RM-6	1 Gallon Household Batteries	2					
Basement	Computer	6					
	Hazardous Materials Inventory						
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
-	-	-					
0	ther Regulated Materials Inventory						
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
Basement	Washing Machine	2					
Basement	Dryer	1					
RM-6, Basement	Refridgerator	2					
RM-2, Basement	Space Heater	3					
RM-7	Window AC Unit	1					
RM-4	Microwave	1					



Ingham County Land Bank 712 Brook St, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/05/2018

Property Photos







Side of House



Ingham County Land Bank 712 Brook St, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/05/2018

Samples



Ingham County Land Bank 712 Brook St, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/05/2018

Samples







REGULATED MATERIALS SURVEY LIMITATIONS

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) performed its services associated with this Regulated Materials Survey (RMS) in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OHSA) 29 CFR 1926.62, and in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. This RMS and related documentation are site-specific, which means they pertain to the conditions of the site surveyed.

Unless otherwise noted, MSG's RMS is limited to accessible areas. Areas determined to be not structurally sound, safely reached, limited by excessive accumulated obstructions, require specialized equipment to access, in operable windows, etc., are not included in this survey. There may be areas where regulated materials, such as suspected asbestos-containing materials (SACM) and lead containing paint cannot be viewed and/or tested. MSG shall not be responsible for identifying all SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials located in inaccessible locations, including by not limited to, above a plaster ceiling, behind a wall, embedded in concrete, buried, confined spaces, unsafe areas, or otherwise not readily identifiable.

Destructive sampling will only be conducted when permission has been granted by the owner. Destructive survey locations are limited to areas where hidden SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials is reasonably thought to be present and sampling can be conducted in a safe manner. If regulated materials are found during the course of demolition and/or renovation activities that are not listed in this report, the material should be assumed as asbestos-containing, lead containing, or hazardous until it can be sampled and analyzed at an accredited laboratory and safe work practices should always be used if those areas are to be disturbed.

MSG has prepared a logical assessment program to reduce the client's risk of discovering unknown regulated materials and/or hazardous substances. The presence of subsurface regulated materials and/or hazardous substances is based solely on surface observations and/or information provided by others. Descriptions of subsurface conditions provided in this report are not warranted to be complete or accurate. This risk may be reduced by more extensive exploration on the site, but even with additional exploration, it is not possible to completely eliminate the risk of discovering regulated materials and/or hazardous conditions. It cannot and should not be assumed that samples collected and conditions observed at the time of the RMS are representative of an area that has not been sampled and/or tested.

In preparing this report, MSG may have relied on information obtained from or provided by others. MSG makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of this information gathered through outside sources or subcontracted services. No warranty, guarantee, or certification of any kind, expressed or implied, at common law or created by statute, is extended, made, or intended by rendering these environmental consulting services or by furnishing this written report. Environmental conditions and regulations are subject to constant change and reinterpretation. One should not assume that any on-site conditions and/or regulatory statutes or rules will remain constant after MSG has completed the scope of work for this project. Furthermore, because the facts stated in this report are subject to professional interpretation, differing conclusions could be reached by other environmental professionals.

The report is intended to offer support to a building owner, construction manager, general contractor, abatement contractor, architect, and/or other parties authorized by the owner in generally locating asbestos-containing materials (ACM), lead containing paint, universal and hazardous wastes, and/or other regulated materials. This report does not have the required components to serve as an Asbestos Project Design document, Asbestos and/or Lead Containing Paint Abatement Work Plan, and/or a Health and Safety Plan. Therefore, this report should not be utilized as a project specification document. The results, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in

TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

Regulated Materials Survey Limitations.Docx

this report are based only on conditions that were noted during this survey. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. Quantities have been conservatively estimated and sampling locations have been described representatively; however, current site conditions should be field-verified by contractors bidding on and/or prior to abatement work.



ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAINS OF CUSTODY



00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. 2193 Association Dr., Suite 200 Okemos, MI, 48864	Received 12/06/18 Analyzed 12/11/18 Reported 12/11/18	Project712 Brook StOrder #00005Project #11440003	
		BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUM	/IMARY	
	Client ID AS 1-1 Layer 1 Plaster Chrysotile 6.00% homogenous, fibrous. 94% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005-1	Location RM-1	
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 1-2 Layer 1 Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005-2	Location RM-2	
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 1-3 Layer 1 Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005-3	Location RM-4	
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 1-4 Layer 1 Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005-4	Location RM-7	
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 1-5 Layer 1 Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005-5	Location RM-8	
Analytica Analyst: Reviewe	Christopher A Clae	116 by Polarized Light Microscopy es Laboratory Director Quality Manager	Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0	

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. 2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Received 12/06/18 Analyzed 12/11/18	Project Order #	712 Brook St 00005
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported 12/11/18	Project #	11440003
		BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS	SUMMARY	
	Client ID AS 1-6 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005	-6 l	ocation RM-9
Type Gray,	Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.			
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 1-7 Layer 1 Plaster Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005	-7 [Location RM-10
	Client ID AS 2-1 Layer 1 Window Glaze Non Detect 0.00% h, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005	-8 [ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 2-2 Layer 1 Window Glaze Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005	-9 [ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 3-1 Layer 1 Vent Wrap Chrysotile 65.00% homogenous, fibrous. 35% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005	-10 l	ocation RM-1
Analytica Analyst: Reviewe	Christopher A Clae	116 by Polarized Light Microscopy s Laboratory Director Quality Manager		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864		Received Analyzed Reported	12/11/18	Project Order # Project #	712 Brook St 00005			
		ΒΙΠΚ ΣΔΙ							
BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMMARY									
	Client ID AS 3-2 Layer 1		Lab ID	00005-11	l	ocation RM-3			
	Vent Wrap								
Type Gray,	Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.								
	Client ID AS 3-3 Layer 1		Lab ID	00005-12	l	ocation RM-8			
	Vent Wrap								
Туре	Not Analyzed -								
Gray,	, homogenous, fibrous.								
	Client ID AS 4-1 Layer 1	Layer 2	Lab ID	00005-13	l	ocation RM-4			
	Brown Linoleum	Mastic							
	Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect Brown, homogenous, 100% non-asbes							
	Client ID AS 4-2		Lab ID	00005-14	L	ocation RM-4			
	Layer 1	Layer 2							
	Brown Linoleum	Mastic							
	Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect Brown, homogenous, 100% non-asbes							
	Client ID AS 5-1 Layer 1	Layer 2	Lab ID	00005-15	L	ocation RM-4			
	Tan 12x12	Mastic							
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Type <u>Non Detect</u> Black, homogenous, 100% non-asbes							
Analytica	al Method: US EPA 60	0/R-93/116 by Polarized	l Light Micı	roscopy		Accreditations			
Analyst:		er A Claes Laboratory Di	-	.,		NIST-NVLAP			
Reviewe		ucchesi Quality Mana				No. 600212-0			

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864		Received Analyzed Reported	12/11/18	Project Order # Project #	712 Brook St 00005 11440003
		BULK SAN	1PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMA	ARY	
	Client ID AS 5-2 Layer 1 Tan 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Black, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00005-16	l	ocation RM-4
	Client ID AS 6-1 Layer 1 Beige Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Backing Type Non Detect Gray, homogenous, f 100% non-asbes	0.00% ibrous.	00005-17	I	_ocation RM-5
	Client ID AS 6-2 Layer 1 Beige Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Backing Type Non Detect Gray, homogenous, f 100% non-asbes	0.00% ibrous.	O0005-18	I	₋ocation RM-5
	Client ID AS 7-1 Layer 1 Brown 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Backing Type Non Detect Red, homogenous, f 100% non-asbes	0.00% ibrous. tos	00005-19		Location RM-5
	Client ID AS 7-2 Layer 1 Brown 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Backing Type Non Detect Red, homogenous, f 100% non-asbes	0.00% ibrous.	00005-20		Location RM-5
Analytica Analyst: Reviewer	Christophe	0/R-93/116 by Polarized er A Claes Laboratory Di ucchesi Quality Mana	irector	roscopy		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received 12/06/18	Project 712 Brook St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Analyzed 12/11/18	Order # 00005	
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported 12/11/18	Project # 11440003	
	E	ULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMM	ARY	
	Client ID AS 8-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-21	Location RM-6	
N	1ulti color Linoleum			
Orang	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 8-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-22	Location RM-6	
N	1ulti color Linoleum			
Orang	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 9-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-23	Location RM-8	
	Tan Linoleum			
	Chrysotile 20.00% homogenous, fibrous. 80% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 9-2	Lab ID 00005-24	Location RM-8	
	Layer 1 Tan Linoleum			
Туре	Not Analyzed -			
	homogenous, fibrous.			
	Client ID AS 10-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-25	Location RM-10	
	Yellow Linoleum			
Туре	Non Detect 0.00%			
	v, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
Analytic		by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations	
Analyst:			NIST-NVLAP	
Reviewe	er: Joshua P Lucchesi O	jality Manager	No 600212-0	

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received 12/06/18	Project 712 Brook St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Analyzed 12/11/18	Order # 00005	
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported 12/11/18	Project # 11440003	
	В	ULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMMA	RY	
	Client ID AS 10-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-26	Location	RM-10
	Yellow Linoleum			
	Non Detect 0.00% v, homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 11-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-27	Location	Basement
	Duct Wrap			
Туре	Chrysotile 75.00%			
	, homogenous, fibrous. 25% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 11-2	Lab ID 00005-28	Location	Basement
	Layer 1			
	Duct Wrap			
Type Gray	Not Analyzed - , homogenous, fibrous.			
	Client ID AS 11-3	Lab ID 00005-29	Location	Basement
	Layer 1			
Туре	Duct Wrap Not Analyzed -			
	, homogenous, fibrous.			
	Client ID AS 12-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00005-30	Location	Basement
Fo	, oundation Concrete			
Туре	Non Detect 0.00%			
	iomogenous, nonfibrous. L00% non-asbestos			
Analytic	al Method: US EPA 600/R-93/116 b	y Polarized Light Microscopy	Accrec	litations
Analyst:	Christopher A Claes Lal	poratory Director	NIST-	NVLAP
Reviewe	er: Joshua P Lucchesi Qu	ality Manager	No. 60	0212-0

00005

Client The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864		Project 712 Brook St Order # 00005 Project # 11440003
	BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS S	UMMARY
Client ID AS 12-2 Layer 1 Foundation Concrete Type Non Detect 0.00% Gray, homogenous, nonfibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005-3	31 Location Basement
Client ID AS 13-1 Layer 1 Stack Cement Type Chrysotile 12.00% Gray, homogenous, fibrous. 88% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005-:	32 Location Basement
Client ID AS 13-2 Layer 1 Stack Cement Type Not Analyzed Gray, homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID 00005-:	33 Location Basement
Client ID AS 14-1 Layer 1 Basement Window Glaze Type Non Detect 0.00% Cream, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005-3	34 Location Basement
Client ID AS 14-2 Layer 1 Basement Window Glaze Type <u>Non Detect 0.00%</u> Cream, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00005-:	35 Location Basement
	0/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy er A Claes Laboratory Director ucchesi Quality Manager	Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received	12/06/18	Project	712 Brook St
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Analyzed	12/11/18	Order #	O0005
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported	12/11/18	Project #	11440003
		BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMM	ARY	
	Client ID AS 15-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00005-36	l	ocation Exterior
	Asphalt Siding				
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. ,00% non-asbestos				
	Client ID AS 15-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00005-37	l	ocation Exterior
	Asphalt Siding				
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos				
	Client ID AS 16-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00005-38	l	ocation Exterior
Si	ding Underlayment				
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos				
	Client ID AS 16-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00005-39	l	ocation Exterior
Si	ding Underlayment				
Type Tan, 1	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos				
	Client ID AS 17-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00005-40	L	ocation Exterior
	Exterior Caulk				
9	Chrysotile 2.00% homogenous, fibrous. 98% non-asbestos nt count performed.				
Analytic	al Method: US EPA 600/R-93/	116 by Polarized Light Micr	2050001		Accreditations
Analytica Analyst:		Es Laboratory Director	υντομγ		NIST-NVLAP
Reviewe					No. 600212-0

00005

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, 2193 Association Dr., Suite 2 Okemos, MI, 48864		12/11/18 Order #	712 Brook St 00005 # 11440003
		BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMARY	
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 17-2 Layer 1 Exterior Caulk Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Lab ID	O0005-41	Location Exterior
	Client ID AS 18-1 Layer 1 Roof Shingle Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID	00005-42	Location Roof
	Client ID AS 18-2 Layer 1 Roof Shingle Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID	00005-43	Location Roof

Analytical Method:	US EPA 600/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations
Analyst:	Christopher A Claes Laboratory Director	NIST-NVLAP
Reviewer:	Joshua P Lucchesi Quality Manager	No. 600212-0

2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time 12-6-18 1600

Relinquished by Kory McKay

Received by WAM

Date and Time 12/10/18

Comments:

	A	-) ,	2017年1月1日日本
	Analytic	Analytical Laboratories	Order Number:	h 000 A	4 (
2		50	City, State Lansing, MI	Zip Code	*Bulk Samples Only*
Address	2193 Association Drive Suite 200	te 200	Contact Charlie Bush	Phone (517) 316-9232	TTP Point Count
Project	710 Brook St	Project # 1440003		Fax	Date Sampled:
, Turn Around		∐. ₽	✓ 72 Hour 🔲 1 Week	Report to 🖌 Email 🗌 Fax	12/5/2018
11441	Customer ID	Material Type	Гуре	Material Location	' Notes
Ldb ID	AS 1-1	Plaster	2r	RM-1	
	AS 1-2	Plaster		RM-2	
	AS 1-3	Plaster	21	RM-4	
	AS 1-4	Plaster	9 7	RM-7	
	AS 1-5	Plaster	er	RM-8	
	AS 1-6	Plaster		RM-9	
	AS 1-7	Plaster	er	RM-10	
	AS 2-1	Window Glaze	Glaze	RMI-1	
	AS 2-2	Window Glaze	Glaze	RM-1	
	AS 3-1	Vent wrap	rap	RM-1	
	AS 3-2	Vent wrap	rap	RM-3	
•	AS 3-3	Vent wrap	rap .	RM-8	
	AS 4-1	Brown Linoleum	oleum	RM-4	
	AS 4-2	Brown Linoleum	oleum	RM-4	
	AS 5-1	Tan 12x12	x12	RM-4	
	AS 5-2	Tan 12x12	x12	RM-4	
	AS 6-1	Beige Linoleum	oleum	RM-5	
	AS 6-2	Beige Linoleum	oleum	RM-5	
	AS 7-1	Brown 12x12	2x12	RMI-5	
	AS 7-2	Brown 12x12	l2x12	RMI-5	

The Mannik & Smith Group

Chain of Custody

1 of 3

2365 \$
. Haggerty F
load, Suite
uite 100, Canton
anton, MI 48188
38 Ph
one: (7
(734) 397-3100
7-3100
Fax: (734) 397-3131
Email: ccl
aes@manniksn
iithgrou
ip.con

Date and Time

Relinquished by

Received by _____

Date and Time

	Analytic	Analytical Laboratories	Order Number:		
			City. State Lansing MI	Zip Code	*Bulk Samples Only*
Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Ilic			Phone (517) 316-9232	TTP Point Count
Address	2193 Association Drive, suite 200	Project # 11/1/0003		Fax	Date Sampled:
Project Turn Around	712 Brook St	48 Hour	72 H	Report to 🗸 Email 🗌 Fax	12/5/2018
		Material Type	Vpe	Material Location	Notes
Lab ID	AS 8-1	Multi color Linoleum	noleum	RM-6	
	AS 8-2	Multi color Linoleum	noleum	RM-6	
	AS 9-1	Tan Linoleum	Bum	RM-8	
	AS 9-2	Tan Linoleum		RM-8	
	AS 10-1	Yellow linoleum	leum	RM-10	
The second s	AS 10-2	Yellow linoleum	leum	RM-10	
	AS 11-1	Duct wrap	qe	Basement	
-	AS 11-2	Duct wrap	ap	Basement	
	AS 11-3	Duct wrap	ap	Basement	
	AS 12-1	Foundation Concrete	Concrete	Basement	
	AS 12-2	Foundation Concrete	loncrete	Basement	
	AS 13-1	Stack Cement	nent	Basement	
	AS 13-2	Stack Cement	nent	Basement	
	AS 14-1	Basement Window Glaze	dow Glaze	Basement	
	AS 14-2	Basement Window Glaze	dow Glaze	Basement	
	AS 15-1	Asphalt Siding	iding	Exterior	
The second s	AS 15-2	Asphalt Siding	iding	Exterior	
	AS 16-1	Siding underlayment	layment	Exterior	
	AS 16-2	Siding underlayment	layment	Exterior	
	AS 17-1	Exterior Caulk	Caulk	Exterior	
Comments:					

The Mannik & Smith Group

Chain of Custody

		Comments:									Lab ID Cu	Turn Around		Address 2193 Asso			
Date and Time	Relinquished by							A2 18-2	AS 18-1	AS 17-2	Customer ID	4 Hour	k St	2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	it & Smith Group Inc	Analytical	The Mannik
									Poof Shingle		Material Type	24 Hour U 48 Hour	Project #	00		Analytical Laboratories	The Mannik & Smith Group
Date a	Rec										l ype		Email <u>cbush@manniksmithgroup.com</u>	+	City, State Lansing, MI	Order Number:	Ch
Date and Time	Received by								Roof	Roof	Exterior		Report to V Email Fax		Zip Code		Chain of Custody
1												Notec	12/5/2018	TTP Point Count	*Bulk Samples Only*		



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT	TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH						
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (MDEQ) AIR QUALITY DIVISION NESHAP, 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS (LARA), ASBESTOS PROGRAM, P.A. 135 OF 1986, AS AMENDED, Section 220 (1-4) or (8)						
DEQ/LARA USE ONLY Postmark Date// Rec'd Date/ Emergency Date// Valid No OK Send Def Ltr. Date of Def Ltr.	3. ABATEMENT CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:						
Comments:	4. DEMOLITION CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:						
Calculate LARA Asbestos Project Fee: (1% Project Fee)	Contact: Phone:						
Total Project Cost: x 0.01 =	5. FACILITY OWNER: ("Facility" includes Bridges)						
Type of Contractor: License No.:	Name:						
Licensing Authority:	Mailing Address:						
1. NOTIFICATION:	City/State/Zip: E-mail:						
Date of Notification:	Contact: Phone:						
Date of Revision(s):	6. FACILITY DESCRIPTION:						
Notification Type: 🗌 Original 📋 Revised 📋 Canceled 🔲 Annual	Facility Name:						
Mark appropriate boxes: (both DEQ and LARA may apply):	Location Address/Description:						
DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] Planned Renovation Emergency Renovation Scheduled Demolition – 10 working days notice Intentional Burn – 10 working days notice	If Apt. # of units: City/Twp. State: County: Nearest Crossroad: Size: (sq. ft.)						

Ordered Demolition	
LARA (MIOSHA) [Will n	not accept annual notifications]
Demo Reno Encan	(>10 ln ft /15 sq ft) 10 calendar

Demo, Reno, Encap. (>10 ln. ft./15 sq. ft.) 10 calendar days notic	се
Emergency Renovation/Encapsulation	

I Lineigency	Renovation/Enca	psulation

2. PROJECT SCHEDULE:

	START DATE	END DATE	Name:	
* Renovation			Location Address:	
+Asb. Removal			City/State/Zip:	
+Demolition:			8. WASTE TRANSPORTER 1:	WASTE TRANSPORTER 2:
Encapsulation:			Name:	
	Work Schedule: Please indicate the anticipated days of the week and		Address:	
work hours for the	purpose of scheduling a cor	npliance inspection.	City/State/Zip:	
	Days of the Week	Work Hours	Phono:	

Days of the Week

Asb. Removal:
Demolition:

Encapsulation:

* Includes setup, build enclosure, asbestos removal, demobilizing, etc. +Include only those dates you are conducting asbestos removal/demo.

Check here if this is a multi-phased project, attach a schedule showing the start/end date of each phase.

10. IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?

It <u>must</u> be removed prior to demolition.)

IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	lo 🔲 To be removed prior to demolition					
Estimate the amount of asbestos: Include RACM			Non-friable ACM <u>not</u> RACM to be RACM to be removed prior to demo.					
(Regulated Asbestos Containing Material) to be removed, encapsulated, etc. Also include the amount		Removed	Encapsulated	Category I	Category II	Units of I	Measure	
						🗌 Ln. Ft.	🗌 Ln. M.	
and type (floor tile, roofing, etc.) of							Sq. Ft.	 □ Sq. M.
I and/or Category II ACM that will								
to demolition. (NOTE: In a demol							🗌 Cu. Ft.*	🗌 Cu.M.*
ACM <u>cannot</u> remain in a structure become regulated in the demolitio	,	,	*\/olumo (cubic ft	(motors) should be	o ucod oply if u	nable to measur	o by linear/cau	

Gov't Agency Ordering Demo:

Name/Title of Person Signing Order:

Specific Location(s) in Facility:

7. DISPOSAL SITE:

Phone:

notification.

Date of Order:

*Volume (cubic ft./meters) should be used only if unable to measure by linear/square measure (example: asbestos has fallen off of surface).

Age: _____ Present Use: _____ Prior Use: _____

9. ORDERED DEMOLITIONS: (See NESHAP regulations for definition of "Ordered Demolition.") A copy of the official Order must accompany this

_____ Date Ordered to Begin: _
NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH (continue

11.	1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Complete A) for Renovation (asbestos removal/encapsulation) and/or B) for Demolition:									
	A) RENOVATION: Mark all surfaces/types of RACM to be removed: Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tanks(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile(s) Mag Block Other (describe) Tunnel (s) Tunnel(s)	Encapsulation (for LARA): Mark surfaces/types to be encapsulated: Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tank(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile(s) Other (describe))							
		the surface (example: glove bag, scrape with hand tools, cut in sections and								
	carefully lower, etc.):		-							
			-							
	B) DEMOLITION: Describe the method of demolition of facility, bridge, et	c., and indicate if complete or partial. If partial, describe which part of facility								
	bridge, etc., will be demolished:		-							
10		controls used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, and								
12.	until proper disposal:	controls used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, and	ג - -							
13.	UNEXPECTED ASBESTOS: Describe the steps you intend to follow in becomes friable (crumbled, pulverized, reduced to powder, etc.) and there	the event that unexpected RACM is found or previously non-friable asbestos fore regulated:	3							
		÷	_							
14.	analytical sampling was used, describe method of analysis. (The determi	i: A) Indicate how you determined whether or not asbestos is in the facility. I nation of the presence or absence of asbestos must be made prior to submitting	f J							
	B) Name, address, and phone number of company performing asbestos s	urvey:	_							
	C) Name, accreditation number of inspector, and date of inspection:		-							
15.		Describe the sudden, unexpected event:	_							
			-							
	Explain how the event caused unsafe conditions, and/or would cause equi	pment damage and/or an unreasonable financial burden:	-							
			-							
16.	I certify that an individual trained in the provisions of 40 CFR Part 61, S RACM above the threshold and/or during an ordered demolition. Evide inspection at the renovation or demolition site.	Subpart M, will be on-site during the renovation and during demolition involving ence that this person has completed the required training will be available for) r							
	Signature of Owner or Abatement Contractor Date	Signature of Owner or Demolition Contractor Date	-							
17.	Signature Requirements for Projects with Negative Prese Per Section 221(1)(2) of P.A. 135 of 1986, as amended, clearance ai linear feet/15 square feet or more of friable material which is perform have been advised by the contractor of my responsibility under Act to	ssure Enclosures: (required by LARA) ir monitoring is required for any asbestos abatement project involving 10 ned within a negative pressure enclosure. <i>I (the building owner or lessee</i> 35 to have clearance air monitoring performed on this project.))							
	Signature of Building Owner or Lessee Date NOTE: It is not mandatory that a signed copy be sent to LARA unless reques and made part of your records before the project begins.	Signature of Asbestos Abatement Contractor Representative Date ted. For affected projects, this section of the notification form must be completed, signed,	-							
18.	I certify that the above information is correct:									
	Printed Name of Owner/Operator Date	Signature of Owner/Operator Date	-							
MA	ILING ADDRESSES/PHONE NUMBERS: (See Item 1 to determine v	which agency requirements/regulations are applicable to your project.)								
mail	Public Act 135 of 1986, as amended, Section 220 (1-4) or (8), to address below. For more info visit: ://www.michigan.gov/asbestos	For NESHAP Demolitions/Renovations, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M, please use the e-submittal process. For more information visit <u>http://www.michigan.gov/air</u> , under Air Links click on Asbestos NESHAP Program.								
LAF P.O	DSHA Asbestos Program RA, CSHD 9. Box 30671 sing, MI 48909-8171	NESHAP Asbestos Program DEQ, AQD P.O. Box 30260 Lansing, MI 48909-7760								
	.636.4551 (office), 517.322.1713 (fax)	517.284.6777 (Office)								



December 21, 2018

Ms. Roxanne Case Grant Manager Ingham County Land Bank 3024 Turner Street Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan 48906

Re: Pre-Renovation Regulated Materials Survey 534 North Pine St., Lansing, Ingham County, MI

Dear Ms. Case:

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) is pleased to present Ingham County with the results of the limited prerenovation regulated materials survey (RMS) performed at 534 North Pine St., Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan (hereinafter referred to as the "Site") by Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903).

SUMMARY

Building Information					
Property Address	534 N Pine St., Lansing MI				
Parcel #	33-01-01-16-107-001				
No. Stories	2				
Square Footage (approx.)	1000 SF				
Siding	Vinyl				
Basement	Yes				
Garage	No				



Asbestos Containing Material								
Location	Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Asbestos	Quantity				
RM-1, RM-6, Basement	Vent Wrap	Non Friable	60% Chrysotile	75 SF				

Universal Waste Inventory						
Location	Material Description	Quantity				
RM-1	TV	2				
RM-2	Thermostat	1				
Basement	CFL Light Bulb	1				
RM-5, Basement	Smoke Detector	2				



Other Regulated Materials Inventory					
Location	Material Description	Quantity			
RM-2	Microwave	1			
Basement	Window AC Unit	1			

Hazardous Materials						
Location	Material Description	Quantity				
RM-4, RM-6	5 Gallon Paint Bucket	4				
RM-3, Basement, Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Can	21				

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the RMS was to identify, quantify and document the location of regulated materials that may be encountered during renovation of the on-site structure. To accomplish this purpose, MSG performed the following scope of work:

- 1) Pre-renovation asbestos-containing material (ACM) survey.
- 2) Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated wastes survey.

METHODOLOGIES

The RMS was conducted on December 10, 2018. Methodologies employed during the completion of each task of the RMS are detailed below.

ACM Survey Procedures

The ACM survey was performed in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763. The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations govern demolition and renovation activities in which asbestos is present. The NESHAP rule distinguishes between Regulated Asbestos-Containing Materials (RACM) that would readily release asbestos fibers when damaged or disturbed and those materials that are unlikely to result in significant fiber release during renovation activities. The purpose of this survey is to determine if ACM within the Site building are RACM and thus, subject to the NESHAP, and to comply with the Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration (MIOSHA) and guidelines set forth in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Regulations Standards 29 CFR 1910.1101.

RACM, as defined by NESHAP, is classified into four parts, (1) friable asbestos material, (2) Category I nonfriable ACM (packing, gaskets, floor tile and roofing products) that has become friable, (3) Category I nonfriable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting or abrading, or (4) Category II non-friable ACM (all other ACM products) that has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation operations.

The suspect ACM identified during this survey was grouped into homogeneous materials (i.e. similar materials which are uniform in color and texture) and:

- Described and quantified it in linear feet (LF) or square feet (SF);
- Identified and classified as friable or non-friable;
- Assessed as being in good, fair or poor condition;
- Assigned an EPA classification type (surfacing material, thermal system insulation or miscellaneous);

- Classified as RACM or non-RACM; and
- Sampled, or identified as presumed ACM (PACM).

MSG performed services associated with the ACM survey in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. The ACM survey included a systematic visual inspection of readily accessible areas of the Site building. Destructive sampling methods were used and suspect ACM samples were collected by State of Michigan Accredited Asbestos Inspector, Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903). Based on the quantity of each classification of material, MSG collected samples of each suspect ACM in accordance with EPA guidelines.

Universal Wastes and Hazardous Material Survey Procedures

MSG identified and inventoried universal wastes and hazardous materials by a visual reconnaissance of the Site. Materials were identified, described, and quantified to the extent possible; however, no equipment or containers were opened and/or sampled as part of this survey.

A hazardous material, as defined in OSHA 29 CFR 1910.1200, is any item or chemical which is a "health hazard" or "physical hazard", including the following:

- Chemicals that are carcinogens, toxic or highly toxic agents, reproductive toxins, irritants, corrosives, hepatotoxins, nephrotoxins, neurotoxins, agents that act on the hematopoietic system, and agents that damage the lungs, skin, eyes, or mucous membranes;
- Chemicals that are combustible liquids, compressed gases, explosives, flammable liquids, flammable solids, organic peroxides, oxidizers, pyrophorics, unstable (reactive) or water-reactive;
- Chemicals that, in the course of normal handling, use or storage, may produce or release dusts, gases, fumes, vapors, mists or smoke which have any of the above characteristics; and
- Any item or chemical which, when being transported or moved, is a risk to public safety or an environmental hazard, and is regulated as such by one or more of the following:
 - DOT Department of Transportation; Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR 100-180);
 - IMO International Maritime Organization; International Maritime Dangerous Goods (IMDG) Code;
 - o IATA International Air Transport Association; Dangerous Goods Regulations;
 - o ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization; Technical Instructions; and
 - AF Air Force "INTERSERVICE" Manual, Preparing Hazmat for Military Air Shipments (AFMAN 24-204).

Hazardous materials may also include:

- Any item or chemical listed in the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) *List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities*, dated September 1992.
- Noticeable as inventory under the reporting requirements of the Hazardous Chemical Reporting (40 CFR Part 302).
- An environmental release under the reporting requirements of the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right To Know (40 CFR Part 372) or under Part 201, Environmental Remediation of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (Part 201) and Part 213, Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (Part 213).

These would include chemicals with special characteristics which, in the opinion of the manufacturer, can cause harm to people, plants, or animals when released by spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other receptacles).

Universal wastes are waste that comes primarily from consumer products containing mercury, lead, cadmium or other substances that are hazardous to human health and the environment. These items

cannot be discarded in household trash nor disposed of in landfills but have less stringent handling and disposal requirements than hazardous waste streams. In Michigan, universal wastes are regulated by the MDEQ Office of Waste Management and Radiological Protection under Part 111 of Act 451 and the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 under 40 CFR Part 273. Universal waste is also regulated by the US Department of Transportation (US DOT) under 49 CFR Parts 171 through 180. Most of the universal waste requirements overseen by the DEQ are addressed by R 299.9228 of Part 111 of 1994 P.A. 451, as amended and 40 CFR Part 273. These regulations are designed to encourage proper collection, recycling, treatment, or disposal of these wastes.

Examples of universal waste are mercury-containing equipment (e.g. thermostats, barometers, manometers, temperature and pressure gauges, and mercury switches), nickel-cadmium and spent lead-acid batteries, lamps (e.g. incandescent, fluorescent, high intensity discharge, neon, mercury vapor, and high pressure sodium and metal halide), pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) containing transformers and light ballasts, stored chemical and/or petroleum products, etc. In Michigan, Part 111 also includes pharmaceutical and consumer electronics as additional types of universal wastes.

Other Regulated Materials

This RMS also included identifying and inventorying other regulated materials which may pose physical or chemical concerns during renovation of the Site building(s) including chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) containing devices, tanks, vessels, equipment, and building materials that may contain or become contaminated with hazardous materials.

Specifically, CFC containing devices are regulated Under Title VI of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Stratospheric Protection Division of the EPA manages programs protecting the stratospheric ozone layer. Title 40, Part 82 of the Code of Federal Regulations contains the EPA regulations protecting the ozone layer. The RMS survey of the premises identified and quantified any CFC containers and CFC containing equipment, which could include the following:

- Drinking fountains, air conditioners, refrigerators
- Air conditioners in control panels and other process equipment
- Water and air chillers
- Roof top and stand-alone air conditioners
- Cafeteria equipment: freezers, walk-in coolers/freezers
- CFC canisters and cylinders

In Michigan, underground storage tanks are regulated under the authority of Part 211, Underground Storage Tank Regulations, of Act 451 of 1994, as amended, and the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Rules (MUSTR). Therefore, this survey included whether any evidence of underground storage tanks and related piping and dispensers were present at the Site.

MSG also surveyed for the presence of equipment, other storage tanks, and materials that may contain or be contaminated by regulated chemicals. These include, but may not be comprehensive of:

- Above ground storage tanks
- Oil-containing equipment (hydraulic equipment, blowers, fans, motors, elevators, compressors, etc.)
- Fire brick
- Contaminated building materials (concrete, block walls, wood, plaster, etc.) with staining, odor or other signs of a hazardous chemical release

SURVEY RESULTS

The following subsections include a discussion of the RMS results. Photographs of the residence are located in the *Attachment A, Photo Log.* The results of this report are valid as of the report date, subject to the limitations presented in *Attachment B, Limitations*.

ACM Survey Results

MSG identified twenty three (23) homogenous materials that were suspect as asbestos containing during the ACM survey. Fifty three (53) bulk samples were collected from these suspect homogeneous materials and were submitted to Mannik & Smith Group Analytical Laboratories (MSGAL) for laboratory analysis of Bulk Materials by Polarized Light Microscopy using USEPA Method 600/R-93/116. MSGAL is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) to analyzed bulk samples for asbestos content. Of the aforementioned suspect homogenous materials identified during this ACM survey, laboratory analysis found one (1) homogenous material (sample 6-1) contained greater than 1% asbestos. The EPA defines ACM as materials containing greater than 1% asbestos.

A point-count quantification procedure (PCQM) allows for lower detection limits than calibrated visual estimation (CVES), which is the quantification method widely used in asbestos analysis via Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM). If the asbestos content is found to contain less than 10% asbestos as determined by a method other than point counting by PLM, it can only be treated as non-ACM if verified to contain less than 1% by the PCQM. If not point-counted, the sample must be assumed to be greater than 1% and thus considered and treated as ACM. It is MSG's experience that point counting samples with an estimated PLM asbestos content of more than 3% does not yield significantly different analytical results. No samples were point counted.

Suspect ACM sample locations are depicted on the attached figure. See *Table 1, Asbestos Sampling Results* for a listing of homogeneous materials identified by MSG during this survey. A copy of the analytical reports including chains of custody is attached in *Attachment C, Analytical Reports and Chains of Custody*.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Survey Results

Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and/or other regulated materials wastes were identified within the Site building. Quantities identified are provided in *Table 2, Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory.*

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Asbestos Containing Materials

Of the twenty three (23) homogenous materials collected as part of the ACM survey, one (1) homogenous material contained asbestos greater than 1% (sample 6-1) with this one (1) homogenous material being classified as RACM. All materials containing ACM must be disposed of in a licensed landfill.

Prior to renovation, a notification of intent to demolish shall be made to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD) and Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA), Asbestos Program. Notification, according to the procedure described by the NESHAP, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Subpart M, Notification, for renovation and demolition projects should be followed. A copy of this notification form is provided in *Attachment D, Notification of Intent to Renovate/Demolish*. This form shall be completed by the contractor who completes the renovation.

If additional suspect ACMs are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, shall be surveyed, tested, and abated if warranted. If suspect ACMs are determined to be RACM that would be disturbed during renovation activities, the RACM must be properly removed by a licensed asbestos abatement contractor.

Category I and Category II Non-Friable ACM may often be left in place during renovation activities if the ACM is not subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading or has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material during the course of renovation.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials

The universal waste, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials (see Table 2) must be properly characterized (as necessary) and properly removed from the Site building for recycling and/or disposed of in accordance with Parts 111, 115, or 147 of Michigan Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended. If additional universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, these materials shall be characterized (as necessary) and properly removed in accordance with the above-mentioned regulations.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the above information please contact us at 517-316-9232. Sincerely,

Kory McKay Environmental Scientist Accreditation Number A47903

-10

Charlie Bush Senior Project Manager Accreditation Number A34293

Attachments





TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

721 N. Capitol Avenue, Suite 2, Lansing, Michigan 48906 Tel: 517.316.9232 Fax: 517.316.9233 www.MannikSmithGroup.com



Vent with Vent Wrap (175 SF total)



TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

721 N. Capitol Avenue, Suite 2, Lansing, Michigan 48906 Tel: 517.316.9232 Fax: 517.316.9233 www.MannikSmithGroup.com







TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client		Ingham Count	v Land B	ank Authority						
Survey Loc	ation	534 N Pine St								
Survey D Functional Area	Floor	December 10, Sample ID	2018 HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-1	1	AS 1-1	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1300 SF
RM-2	1	AS 1-2	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1300 SF
RM-3	1	AS 1-3	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1300 SF
RM-4	1	AS 1-4	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1300 SF
RM-7	2	AS 1-5	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1300 SF
RM-7	2	AS 2-4	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1800 SF
RM-8	2	AS 2-5	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1800 SF
RM-6	2	AS 2-3	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1800 SF
RM-2	1	AS 2-1	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1800 SF
RM-2	1	AS 2-2	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1800 SF
Exterior	E	AS 3-1	HA-3	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	175 SF
Exterior	E	AS 3-2	HA-3	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	175 SF
Exterior	E	AS 4-1	HA-4	Window caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	120 LF
Exterior	E	AS 4-2	HA-4	Window caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	120 LF
RM-3	1	AS 5-1	HA-5	Sink undercoat	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	5 SF
RM-3	1	AS 5-2	HA-5	Sink undercoat	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	5 SF
RM-1	1	AS 6-1	HA-6	Vent wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	60% Chrysotile	175 SF
RM-1	1	AS 6-2	HA-6	Vent wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	175 SF
RM-6	2	AS 6-3	HA-6	Vent wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	175 SF

TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client Survey Loc Survey D	cation	Ingham Count 534 N Pine St December 10,		ank Authority						
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-3	1	AS 7-1	HA-7	Gray 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 7-2	HA-7	Gray 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 8-1	HA-8	Tan Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 8-2	HA-8	Tan Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 9-1	HA-9	White 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 9-2	HA-9	White 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 10-2	HA-10	Cream linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-3	1	AS 10-1	HA-10	Cream linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
RM-4	1	AS 11-1	HA-11	Green Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	40 SF
RM-4	1	AS 11-2	HA-11	Green Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	40 SF
RM-4	1	AS 12-1	HA-12	Red 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF
RM-4	1	AS 12-2	HA-12	Red 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	25 SF
RM-10	1	AS 13-1	HA-13	White Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
RM-10	1	AS 13-2	HA-13	White Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
RM-10	1	AS 14-1	HA-14	Multi color Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
RM-10	1	AS 14-2	HA-14	Multi color Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
RM-6	2	AS 15-1	HA-15	Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	320 SF
RM-6	2	AS 15-2	HA-15	Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	320 SF
RM-6	2	AS 16-1	HA-16	Flower Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF

TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client Survey Location Survey Date		Ingham Count		nk Authority						
		534 N Pine St								
Functional Area	Floor	December 10, Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-6	2	AS 16-2	HA-16	Flower Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF
RM-8	2	AS 17-1	HA-17	Blue Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
RM-8	2	AS 17-2	HA-17	Blue Linoleum	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	20 SF
Basement	В	AS 18-1	HA-18	Stack Cement	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	5 SF
Basement	В	AS 18-2	HA-18	Stack Cement	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	5 SF
Basement	В	AS 19-1	HA-19	Basement Concrete floor	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	350 SF
Basement	В	AS 19-2	HA-19	Basement Concrete floor	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	350 SF
Exterior	E	AS 20-1	HA-20	Asphalt Siding	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1900 SF
Exterior	E	AS 20-2	HA-20	Asphalt Siding	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1900 SF
Exterior	E	AS 21-1	HA-21	Chimney Mortar	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
Exterior	E	AS 21-2	HA-21	Chimney Mortar	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	150 SF
Exterior	E	AS 22-1	HA-22	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF
Exterior	E	AS 22-2	HA-22	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF
Roof	E	AS 23-1	HA-23	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	800 SF
Roof	E	AS 23-2	HA-23	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	800 SF

Table 2Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory534 Pine St.Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan

	Universal Waste Inventory	
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity
RM-1	TV	2
RM-2	Thermostat	1
Basement	CFL Light Bulb	1
RM-5, Basement	Smoke Detector	2
	Hazardous Materials Inventory	
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity
RM-4, RM-6	5 Gallon Paint Bucket	4
RM-3, Basement, Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Can	21
Oth	ner Regulated Materials Inventory	
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity
RM-2	Microwave	1
Basement	Window AC Unit	1



Property Photos







Side of House



Samples



Sample ID: AS 1-1 Location: RM-1 Notes: Drywall



Sample ID: AS 2-1 Location: RM-2 Notes: Plaster



Sample ID: AS 3-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Window Glaze



Sample ID: AS 5-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Sink Undercoating



Sample ID: AS 6-1 Location: RM-1 Notes: Vent Wrap



Sample ID: AS 6-3 Location: RM-3 Notes: Vent Wrap

Samples



Sample ID: AS 8-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Tan Linoleum



Sample ID: AS 12-1 Location: RM-4 Notes: Red 12x12 Tile



Sample ID: AS 15-1 Location: RM-6 Notes: Wall Mastic



Sample ID: AS 16-1 Location: RM-6 Notes: Flower Linoleum



Sample ID: AS 18-1 Location: Basement Notes: Stack Cement



Sample ID: AS 20-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Asphalt Siding

Samples



Sample ID: AS 21-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Chimney Mortar



Sample ID: AS 22-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Exterior Caulk





REGULATED MATERIALS SURVEY LIMITATIONS

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) performed its services associated with this Regulated Materials Survey (RMS) in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OHSA) 29 CFR 1926.62, and in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. This RMS and related documentation are site-specific, which means they pertain to the conditions of the site surveyed.

Unless otherwise noted, MSG's RMS is limited to accessible areas. Areas determined to be not structurally sound, safely reached, limited by excessive accumulated obstructions, require specialized equipment to access, in operable windows, etc., are not included in this survey. There may be areas where regulated materials, such as suspected asbestos-containing materials (SACM) and lead containing paint cannot be viewed and/or tested. MSG shall not be responsible for identifying all SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials located in inaccessible locations, including by not limited to, above a plaster ceiling, behind a wall, embedded in concrete, buried, confined spaces, unsafe areas, or otherwise not readily identifiable.

Destructive sampling will only be conducted when permission has been granted by the owner. Destructive survey locations are limited to areas where hidden SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials is reasonably thought to be present and sampling can be conducted in a safe manner. If regulated materials are found during the course of demolition and/or renovation activities that are not listed in this report, the material should be assumed as asbestos-containing, lead containing, or hazardous until it can be sampled and analyzed at an accredited laboratory and safe work practices should always be used if those areas are to be disturbed.

MSG has prepared a logical assessment program to reduce the client's risk of discovering unknown regulated materials and/or hazardous substances. The presence of subsurface regulated materials and/or hazardous substances is based solely on surface observations and/or information provided by others. Descriptions of subsurface conditions provided in this report are not warranted to be complete or accurate. This risk may be reduced by more extensive exploration on the site, but even with additional exploration, it is not possible to completely eliminate the risk of discovering regulated materials and/or hazardous conditions. It cannot and should not be assumed that samples collected and conditions observed at the time of the RMS are representative of an area that has not been sampled and/or tested.

In preparing this report, MSG may have relied on information obtained from or provided by others. MSG makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of this information gathered through outside sources or subcontracted services. No warranty, guarantee, or certification of any kind, expressed or implied, at common law or created by statute, is extended, made, or intended by rendering these environmental consulting services or by furnishing this written report. Environmental conditions and regulations are subject to constant change and reinterpretation. One should not assume that any on-site conditions and/or regulatory statutes or rules will remain constant after MSG has completed the scope of work for this project. Furthermore, because the facts stated in this report are subject to professional interpretation, differing conclusions could be reached by other environmental professionals.

The report is intended to offer support to a building owner, construction manager, general contractor, abatement contractor, architect, and/or other parties authorized by the owner in generally locating asbestos-containing materials (ACM), lead containing paint, universal and hazardous wastes, and/or other regulated materials. This report does not have the required components to serve as an Asbestos Project Design document, Asbestos and/or Lead Containing Paint Abatement Work Plan, and/or a Health and Safety Plan. Therefore, this report should not be utilized as a project specification document. The results, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in

TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

Regulated Materials Survey Limitations.Docx

this report are based only on conditions that were noted during this survey. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. Quantities have been conservatively estimated and sampling locations have been described representatively; however, current site conditions should be field-verified by contractors bidding on and/or prior to abatement work.



ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAINS OF CUSTODY



00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group	, Inc. Received	12/11/18	Project 534 N Pine St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite	200 Analyzed	12/14/18	Order # 00009	
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported	12/14/18	Project # 11440003	
		BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMAR	Y	
	Client ID AS 1-1 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00009-1	Location RM-1	
	Drywall	Joint Compound			
	Non Detect0.00%, homogenous, fibrous.00% non-asbestos	TypeNon Detect0.00%White, homogenous, fibrous.100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 1-2 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00009-2	Location RM-2	
	Drywall	Joint Compound			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect 0.00% White, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 1-3 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00009-3	Location RM-3	
	Drywall	Joint Compound			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	TypeNon Detect0.00%White, homogenous, fibrous.100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 1-4 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00009-4	Location RM-4	
	Drywall	Joint Compound			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	TypeNon Detect0.00%White, homogenous, fibrous.100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 1-5 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00009-5	Location RM-7	
	Drywall	Joint Compound			

The results herein relate only to the samples as received and tested by The Mannik & Smith Analytical Laboratories. This report can not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any other agency of the Federal Government. Please see the Sample Protocol before submitting samples for analysis in order to ensure laboratory staff safety and analysis accuracy.

Non Detect 0.00%

White, homogenous, fibrous.

100% non-asbestos

US EPA 600/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy

Quality Manager

Christopher A Claes Laboratory Director

Туре

Joshua P Lucchesi

Type Non Detect 0.00%

White, homogenous, fibrous.

100% non-asbestos

Analytical Method:

Analyst:

Reviewer:

Accreditations

NIST-NVLAP

No. 600212-0

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864	200	Received Analyzed Reported	12/14/18	Project Order # Project #	534 N Pine St O0009 I1440003
		BULK SAM	1PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMAF	YY	
	Client ID AS 2-4 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous.	00009-6	l	ocation RM-7
	Client ID AS 2-5 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous.	00009-7	l	_ocation RM-8
	Client ID AS 2-3 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous.	O0009-8	Į	_ocation RM-6
	Client ID AS 2-1 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous. tos	00009-9		ocation RM-2
	Client ID AS 2-2 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous.	00009-10	l	_ocation RM-2
Analytica Analyst: Reviewer	Christophe	0/R-93/116 by Polarized er A Claes Laboratory Di ucchesi Quality Mana	rector	roscopy		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received 12/11/18	Project 534 N Pine St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Analyzed 12/14/18	Order # 00009	
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported 12/14/18	Project # 11440003	
	В	ULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMM/	ARY	
	Client ID AS 3-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-11	Location Exterior	
	Window Glaze			
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 3-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-12	Location Exterior	
	Window Glaze			
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 4-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-13	Location Exterior	
	Window Caulk			
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 4-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-14	Location Exterior	
	Window Caulk			
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 5-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-15	Location RM-3	
	Sink Undercoat			
Type Black 1	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
Analytic	al Method: US EPA 600/R-93/116 b	y Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations	
Analyst:	Christopher A Claes La	boratory Director	NIST-NVLAP	
Reviewe	er: Joshua P Lucchesi Qu	iality Manager	No. 600212-0	

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864	200 A	nalyzed	12/11/18 12/14/18 12/14/18	Project Order # Project #	534 N Pine St O0009 I1440003
		BULK SAM	PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMN	1ARY	
	Client ID AS 5-2 Layer 1 Sink Undercoat Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos		Lab ID	00009-16	l	ocation RM-3
	Client ID AS 7-1 Layer 1 Gray 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos		Lab ID	00009-17	L	ocation RM-3
	Client ID AS 7-2 Layer 1 Gray 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos		Lab ID	O0009-18	L	ocation RM-3
	Client ID AS 8-1 Layer 1 Tan Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Tan, homogenous, fib 100% non-asbesto	0.00% rous.	00009-19	L	ocation RM-3
	Client ID AS 8-2 Layer 1 Tan Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Tan, homogenous, fib 100% non-asbesto	0.00% rous.	O0009-20	L	ocation RM-3
Analytica Analyst: Reviewe	Christophe	0/R-93/116 by Polarized L er A Claes Laboratory Dire ucchesi Quality Manag	ector	roscopy		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864		Received Analyzed Reported	12/14/18	Project Order # Project #	534 N Pine St 00009 I1440003	
		BULK SAN	1PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMM	ARY		
	Client ID AS 9-1 Layer 1 White 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Tan, homogenous, fi 100% non-asbest	0.00% ibrous.	00009-21	l	ocation RM-3	
	Client ID AS 9-2 Layer 1 White 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Tan, homogenous, fi 100% non-asbes	0.00% ibrous.	00009-22	l	ocation RM-3	
	Client ID AS 10-2 Layer 1 Cream Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% h, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00009-23	L	ocation RM-3	
	Client ID AS 10-1 Layer 1 Cream Linoleum Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous, 100% non-asbest	0.00% fibrous.	00009-24	l	ocation RM-3	
	Client ID AS 6-1 Layer 1 Vent Wrap Chrysotile 60.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 40% non-asbestos		Lab ID	00009-25	L	ocation RM-1	
Analytica Analyst: Reviewe	Christophe	0/R-93/116 by Polarized er A Claes Laboratory Di ucchesi Quality Mana	irector	roscopy		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0	

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group	, Inc. Received	12/11/18 Pro	oject 534 N Pine St
	2193 Association Dr., Suite	200 Analyzed	12/14/18 Or	der # 00009
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported	12/14/18 Pro	oject # 11440003
		BULK SAMPLE AN	IALYSIS SUMMARY	
<u>[</u>	Client ID AS 6-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00009-26	Location RM-1
	Vent Wrap			
Type Gray,	Not Analyzed			
	Client ID AS 11-1	Lab ID	00009-27	Location RM-4
	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3	
	Green Linoleum	Linoleum	Mastic	
Type Green	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous.	Type Non Detect 0.00% White, homogenous, fibrous.	Type Non Detect C Cream, homogenous, fib	0.00%
	00% non-asbestos	100% non-asbestos	100% non-asbestos	ious.
	Client ID AS 11-2	I ah ID	00009-28	Location RM-4
	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3	
	Green Linoleum	Linoleum	Mastic	
Type Green,	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous.	TypeNon Detect0.00%White, homogenous, fibrous.	Type Non Detect C Cream, homogenous, fib	.00% rous.
10	00% non-asbestos	100% non-asbestos	100% non-asbestos	
	Client ID AS 12-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00009-29	Location RM-4
	Red 12x12			
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 12-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00009-30	Location RM-4
	Red 12x12			
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos			
		0/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Mic	croscopy	Accreditations
Analyst: Reviewer		er A Claes Laboratory Director		NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group,	, Inc.	Received	12/11/18	Project	534 N Pine St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 2	200	Analyzed	12/14/18	Order #	O0009	
	Okemos, MI, 48864		Reported	12/14/18	Project #	11440003	
		BULK SAN	1PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMA	ARY		-
	Client ID AS 13-1 Layer 1		Lab ID	00009-31	l	ocation RM-10	
	White Linoleum						
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos						
	Client ID AS 13-2 Layer 1		Lab ID	00009-32	l	ocation RM-10	
	White Linoleum						
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos						
	Client ID AS 14-1 Layer 1	Layer 2	Lab ID	00009-33	l	ocation RM-10	
Ν	1ulti Color Linoleum	Linoleum					
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous, 100% non-asbest					
	Client ID AS 14-2		Lab ID	00009-34	l	ocation RM-10	_
	Layer 1	Layer 2					
	1ulti Color Linoleum	Linoleum					
Type Tan	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous.	Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous,	0.00% fibrous				
	100% non-asbestos	100% non-asbest					
	Client ID AS 15-1 Layer 1		Lab ID	00009-35	l	ocation RM-6	
	Wall Mastic						
	Non Detect 0.00% v, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos						
Analytic	al Method: US EPA 600	D/R-93/116 by Polarized	Light Mic	roscony		Accreditations	
Analyst:		r A Claes Laboratory Di	-	oscopy		NIST-NVLAP	
Reviewe		-				No. 600212-0	

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group	, Inc.	Received	12/11/18	Project	534 N Pine St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite	200	Analyzed	12/14/18	Order #	O0009	
	Okemos, MI, 48864		Reported	12/14/18	Project #	11440003	
		BULK SAN	IPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMA	N RY		
	Client ID AS 15-2 Layer 1		Lab ID	00009-36	l	ocation RM-6	
	Wall Mastic						
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos						
	Client ID AS 16-1		Lab ID	00009-37	l	ocation RM-6	
	Layer 1	Layer 2					
	Flower Linoleum	Mastic					
Type White	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous.	Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous,	0.00% fibrous.				
	.00% non-asbestos	100% non-asbes					
	Client ID AS 16-2		Lah ID	00009-38		ocation RM-6	
	Layer 1	Layer 2	LUDID		ı		
	Flower Linoleum	Mastic					
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect Cream, homogenous, 100% non-asbes					
	Client ID AS 17-1		Lab ID	00009-39	l	ocation RM-8	
	Layer 1						
_	Blue Linoleum						
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos						
	Client ID AS 17-2		Lab ID	00009-40	l	ocation RM-8	
	Layer 1						
T	Blue Linoleum						
Type Blue,	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous.						
	.00% non-asbestos						
<u> </u>							
Analytica		0/R-93/116 by Polarized	-	roscopy		Accreditations	
Analyst:		er A Claes Laboratory D				NIST-NVLAP	
Reviewe	r: Joshua P L	ucchesi 🛛 Ouality Mana	ger			No. 600212-0	

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received 12/11/18	Project	534 N Pine St
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200 Okemos, MI, 48864	Analyzed 12/14/18 Reported 12/14/18	Order # Project #	00009 11440003
		BULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUN	MMARY	
	Client ID AS 6-3 Layer 1 Vent Wrap	Lab ID 00009-41	l	ocation RM-6
Type Gray,	Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.			
	Client ID AS 18-1 Layer 1 Stack Cement Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00009-42	I	ocation Basement
	Client ID AS 18-2 Layer 1 Stack Cement Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00009-43	I	_ocation Basement
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 19-1 Layer 1 ement Concrete Floor Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00009-44	l	Location Basement
Type Gray,	Client ID AS 19-2 Layer 1 ement Concrete Floor Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID 00009-45	l	_ocation Basement
Analytica Analyst: Reviewer	Christopher A Claes			Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Received 12/11/18	Project 534 N Pine St	
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 200	Analyzed 12/14/18	Order # 00009	
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported 12/14/18	Project # 11440003	
	E	ULK SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMMAR'	(
	Client ID AS 20-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-46	Location Exterior	
	Asphalt Siding			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 20-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-47	Location Exterior	
	Asphalt Siding			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 21-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-48	Location Exterior	
	Chimney Mortar			
	Non Detect 0.00% a, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 21-2 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-49	Location Exterior	
	Chimney Mortar			
	Non Detect 0.00% c, homogenous, fibrous. L00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 22-1 Layer 1	Lab ID 00009-50	Location Exterior	
	Exterior Caulk			
	Non Detect 0.00% e, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
Analytic	al Method: US EPA 600/R-93/116 B	by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations	
Analyst:	Christopher A Claes La	boratory Director	NIST-NVLAP	
Reviewe	er: Joshua P Lucchesi Q	uality Manager	No. 600212-0	

00009

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. 2193 Association Dr., Suite 200 Okemos, MI, 48864	Received Analyzed Reported	12/14/18	Project Drder # Project #	534 N Pine St 00009 I1440003
		BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMARY		
	Client ID AS 22-2 Layer 1 Exterior Caulk Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID	00009-51	L	ocation Exterior
,	Client ID AS 23-1 Layer 1 Roof Shingle Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID	00009-52	L	ocation Roof
,	Client ID AS 23-2 Layer 1 Roof Shingle Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Lab ID	O0009-53	L	ocation Roof

Analytical Method:	US EPA 600/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations
Analyst:	Christopher A Claes Laboratory Director	NIST-NVLAP
Reviewer:	Joshua P Lucchesi Quality Manager	No. 600212-0

2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time 12/11/18

Received by AC

Relinquished by Date and Time N 1 811

	Anaiyti	Analytical Laboratories Order Number:	1ber: 00009	•
Client T	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Jp, Inc. City, State Lansing, MI	Zip Code	*Bulk Samples Only*
Address 2	2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	Contact	Phone (517) 316-9232	TTP Point Count
Project 5	534 N Pine St	Project # 11440003 Email	Fax	Date Sampled:
Turn Around	4 Hour	✓ 72 H	ek Report to 🖌 Email 🗌 Fax	12/10/2018
Lab ID	Customer ID	Material Type	Material Location	Notes
	AS 1-1	Drywall	RM-1	
	AS 1-2	Drywall	RM-2	
	AS 1-3	Drywall	RM-3	
	AS 1-4	Drywall	RM-4	
	AS 1-5	Drywall	RM-7	
	AS 2-4	Plaster	RM-7	
	AS 2-5	Plaster	RM-8	
	AS 2-3	Plaster	RM-6	
	AS 2-1	Plaster	RM-2	
	AS 2-2	Plaster	RM-2	
	AS 3-1	Window Glaze	Exterior	
	AS 3-2	Window Glaze	Exterior	
	AS 4-1	Window caulk	Exterior	
	AS 4-2	Window caulk	Exterior	
	AS 5-1	Sink undercoat	RM-3	
	AS 5-2	Sink undercoat	RM-3	
	AS 7-1	Gray 12x12	RM-3	
	AS 7-2	Gray 12x12	RM-3	
	AS 8-1	Tan Linoleum	RM-3	
	C-8 2V	Tan Linoleum	RM-3	

The Mannik & Smith Group

Chain of Custody

1 of 3
2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time

Received by

Relinquished by Date and Time N 1 ×

		C.
Ana	Analytical Laboratories Orde	Order Number:
Client The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	th Group, Inc.	Zip Code 🗸 TTP Point Count *Bulk Samples Only*
Address 2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	Contact	316-9232
Project 534 N Pine St	Project # 11440003 Email	cbush@manniksmithgroup.com Fax Date Sampled:
Turn Around 4 Hour	24 Hour 48 Hour 72 Hour 1 Week	Report to 🖌 Email 🗌 Fax 12/10/2018
Lab ID Customer ID	D Material Type	Material Location Notes
AS 9-2	White 12x12	RM-3
AS 10-2	Cream linoleum	RM-3
AS 10-1	Cream linoleum	RM-3
AS 6-1	Vent wrap	RM-1
AS 6-2	Vent wrap	RM-1
AS 11-1	Green Linoleum	RM-4
AS 11-2	Green Linoleum	RM-4
AS 12-1	Red 12x12	RM-4
AS 12-2	Red 12x12	RM-4
AS 13-1	White Linoleum	RM-10
AS 13-2	White Linoleum	RM-10
AS 14-1	Multi color Linoleum	RM-10
AS 14-2	Multi color Linoleum	RM-10
AS 15-1	Wall mastic	RM-6
AS 15-2	Wall mastic	RM-6
AS 16-1	Flower Linoleum	RM-6
AS 16-2	Flower Linoleum	RM-6
AS 17-1	Blue Linoleum	RM-8
AS 17-2	Blue Linoleum	RM-8

The Mannik & Smith Group

Chain of Custody

2 of 3

2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time

Received by

Relinquished by Date and Time N 11 118

	A				
	Analytica	Analytical Laboratories	Order Number:	mber:	
Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Inc.	City, State Lansing, MI	Zip Code 🗸 TTP	*Bulk Samples Only*
Address	2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	200	Contact Charlie Bush		
Project	534 N Pine St	Project # 11440003	Email	ngroup.com Fax	Date Sampled:
Turn Around		4 Hour 24 Hour	48 Hour 🗹 72 Hour	1 Week Report to 🖌 Email 🔲 Fax	12/10/2018
Lab ID	Customer ID	Mate	Material Type	Material Location	Notes
	AS 6-3	Ven	Vent wrap	RM-6	
	AS 18-1	Stack	Stack Cement	Basement	
	AS 18-2	Stack	Stack Cement	Basement	
	AS 19-1	Basement	Basement Concrete floor	Basement	
	AS 19-2	Basement	Basement Concrete floor	Basement	
	AS 20-1	Aspha	Asphalt Siding	Exterior	
	AS 20-2	Aspha	Asphalt Siding	Exterior	
	AS 21-1	Chimn	Chimney Mortar	Exterior	
	AS 21-2	Chimn	Chimney Mortar	Exterior	
	AS 22-1	Exteri	Exterior Caulk	Exterior	
	AS 22-2	Exteri	Exterior Caulk	Exterior	
	AS 23-1	Roof	Roof Shingle	Roof	
	AS 23-2	Roof	Roof Shingle	Roof	
Comments:					

The Mannik & Smith Group

Chain of Custody

3 of 3



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT	TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (MDEQ) AIR QUALITY DIVISION NESHAP, 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS (LARA), ASBESTOS PROGRAM, P.A. 135 OF 1986, AS AMENDED, Section 220 (1-4) or (8)
DEQ/LARA USE ONLY Postmark Date// Rec'd Date/ Emergency Date// Valid No OK Send Def Ltr. Date of Def Ltr.	3. ABATEMENT CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:
Comments:	4. DEMOLITION CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:
Calculate LARA Asbestos Project Fee: (1% Project Fee)	Contact: Phone:
Total Project Cost: x 0.01 =	5. FACILITY OWNER: ("Facility" includes Bridges)
Type of Contractor: License No.:	Name:
Licensing Authority:	Mailing Address:
1. NOTIFICATION:	City/State/Zip: E-mail:
Date of Notification:	Contact: Phone:
Date of Revision(s):	6. FACILITY DESCRIPTION:
Notification Type: 🗌 Original 📋 Revised 📋 Canceled 🔲 Annual	Facility Name:
Mark appropriate boxes: (both DEQ and LARA may apply):	Location Address/Description:
DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] Planned Renovation Emergency Renovation Scheduled Demolition – 10 working days notice Intentional Burn – 10 working days notice	If Apt. # of units: City/Twp. State: County: Nearest Crossroad: Size: (sq. ft.)

Ordered Demolition	
LARA (MIOSHA) [Will n	not accept annual notifications]
Demo Reno Encan	(>10 ln ft /15 sq ft) 10 calendar

Demo, Reno, Encap. (>10 ln. ft./15 sq. ft.) 10 calendar days notic	се
Emergency Renovation/Encapsulation	

Lineigency	Renovation/Linca	psulation

2. PROJECT SCHEDULE:

	START DATE	END DATE	Name:	
* Renovation			Location Address:	
+Asb. Removal			City/State/Zip:	
+Demolition:			8. WASTE TRANSPORTER 1:	WASTE TRANSPORTER 2:
Encapsulation:			Name:	
	Please indicate the anticip		Address:	
work hours for the	purpose of scheduling a cor	npliance inspection.	City/State/Zip:	
	Days of the Week	Work Hours	Phono:	

Days of the Week

Asb. Removal:
Demolition:

Encapsulation:

* Includes setup, build enclosure, asbestos removal, demobilizing, etc. +Include only those dates you are conducting asbestos removal/demo.

Check here if this is a multi-phased project, attach a schedule showing the start/end date of each phase.

10. IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?

It <u>must</u> be removed prior to demolition.)

IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	To be remove	d prior to demolitio	n			
Estimate the amount of asbesto	s: Include F		RACM to be	RACM to be		e ACM <u>not</u> ior to demo.		
(Regulated Asbestos Containing I		-	Removed	Encapsulated	Category I	Category II	Units of M	Measure
removed, encapsulated, etc. Also	include the	amount					🗌 Ln. Ft.	🗌 Ln. M.
and type (floor tile, roofing, etc.) o							 Sq. Ft.	
I and/or Category II ACM that will							— .	_ ·
to demolition. (NOTE: In a demol							🗌 Cu. Ft.*	🗌 Cu.M.*
ACM <u>cannot</u> remain in a structure become regulated in the demolitic	,	,	*\/olumo (cubic ft	(motors) should be	o usod oply if u	able to measu	ro by linear/cau	

Gov't Agency Ordering Demo:

Name/Title of Person Signing Order:

Specific Location(s) in Facility:

7. DISPOSAL SITE:

Phone:

notification.

Date of Order:

*Volume (cubic ft./meters) should be used only if unable to measure by linear/square measure (example: asbestos has fallen off of surface).

Age: _____ Present Use: _____ Prior Use: _____

9. ORDERED DEMOLITIONS: (See NESHAP regulations for definition of "Ordered Demolition.") A copy of the official Order must accompany this

_____ Date Ordered to Begin: _

NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH (continue

11.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Complete A) for Renovation (asbestos remo	val/encapsulation) and/or B) for Demolition:	
	A) RENOVATION: Mark all surfaces/types of RACM to be removed: Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tanks(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile(s) Mag Block Other (describe) Tunnel (s) Tunnel(s)	Encapsulation (for LARA): Mark surfaces/types to be encapsulated: Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tank(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile(s) Other (describe))
		the surface (example: glove bag, scrape with hand tools, cut in sections and	
	carefully lower, etc.):		-
			-
	B) DEMOLITION: Describe the method of demolition of facility, bridge, et	c., and indicate if complete or partial. If partial, describe which part of facility	
	bridge, etc., will be demolished:		-
10		controls used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, and	
12.	until proper disposal:	controls used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, and	ג - -
13.	UNEXPECTED ASBESTOS: Describe the steps you intend to follow in becomes friable (crumbled, pulverized, reduced to powder, etc.) and there	the event that unexpected RACM is found or previously non-friable asbestos fore regulated:	3
		÷	_
14.	analytical sampling was used, describe method of analysis. (The determi	i: A) Indicate how you determined whether or not asbestos is in the facility. I nation of the presence or absence of asbestos must be made prior to submitting	f J
	B) Name, address, and phone number of company performing asbestos s	urvey:	_
	C) Name, accreditation number of inspector, and date of inspection:		-
15.		Describe the sudden, unexpected event:	_
			-
	Explain how the event caused unsafe conditions, and/or would cause equi	pment damage and/or an unreasonable financial burden:	-
			-
16.	I certify that an individual trained in the provisions of 40 CFR Part 61, S RACM above the threshold and/or during an ordered demolition. Evide inspection at the renovation or demolition site.	Subpart M, will be on-site during the renovation and during demolition involving ence that this person has completed the required training will be available for) r
	Signature of Owner or Abatement Contractor Date	Signature of Owner or Demolition Contractor Date	-
17.	Signature Requirements for Projects with Negative Prese Per Section 221(1)(2) of P.A. 135 of 1986, as amended, clearance ai linear feet/15 square feet or more of friable material which is perform have been advised by the contractor of my responsibility under Act to	ssure Enclosures: (required by LARA) ir monitoring is required for any asbestos abatement project involving 10 ned within a negative pressure enclosure. <i>I (the building owner or lessee</i> 35 to have clearance air monitoring performed on this project.))
	Signature of Building Owner or Lessee Date NOTE: It is not mandatory that a signed copy be sent to LARA unless reques and made part of your records before the project begins.	Signature of Asbestos Abatement Contractor Representative Date ted. For affected projects, this section of the notification form must be completed, signed,	-
18.	I certify that the above information is correct:		
	Printed Name of Owner/Operator Date	Signature of Owner/Operator Date	-
MA	ILING ADDRESSES/PHONE NUMBERS: (See Item 1 to determine v	which agency requirements/regulations are applicable to your project.)	
mail	Public Act 135 of 1986, as amended, Section 220 (1-4) or (8), to address below. For more info visit: ://www.michigan.gov/asbestos	For NESHAP Demolitions/Renovations , 40 CFR , Part 61 , Subpart M please use the e-submittal process. For more information visi <u>http://www.michigan.gov/air</u> , under Air Links click on Asbestos NESHAF Program.	ť
LAF P.O	DSHA Asbestos Program RA, CSHD 9. Box 30671 sing, MI 48909-8171	NESHAP Asbestos Program DEQ, AQD P.O. Box 30260 Lansing, MI 48909-7760	
	.636.4551 (office), 517.322.1713 (fax)	517.284.6777 (Office)	



December 21, 2018

Ms. Roxanne Case Grant Manager Ingham County Land Bank 3024 Turner Street Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan 48906

Re: Pre-Renovation Regulated Materials Survey 2109 South Rundle Ave., Lansing, Ingham County, MI

Dear Ms. Case:

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) is pleased to present Ingham County with the results of the limited prerenovation regulated materials survey (RMS) performed at 2109 South Rundle Ave., Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan (hereinafter referred to as the "Site") by Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903).

SUMMARY

Building Information				
Property Address	2109 S. Rundle Ave., Lansing MI			
Parcel #	33-01-01-28-106-011			
No. Stories	1			
Square Footage (approx.)	800 SF			
Siding	Metal			
Basement	No			
Garage	No			



Asbestos Containing Material								
Location Material Group Friable/Non Friable Asbestos Quantity								
RM-3	Sink Undercoating	Non Friable	1.5% Chrysotile	5 SF				
RM-3	Duct Wrap	Non Friable	45% Chrysotile	10 SF				
RM-3	Tan 12x12	Non Friable	2% Chrysotile	75 SF				

Universal Waste Inventory							
Location	Quantity						
RM-3, RM-1, Exterior, RM-4	CFL light bulb	7					
RM-1	Thermostat	1					
RM-1	Smoke detector	1					
RM-3, Exterior	Fire Extinguisher	2					
Exterior	Fluorescent bulb	2					

TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

Other Regulated Materials Inventory						
Location Material Description Quantity						
No other regulated materials were found on site						

Hazardous Materials							
Location	Material Description	Quantity					
Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Can	7					
Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Thinner	2					
Exterior	Spray Can	10					
Exterior	16.4 Oz Propane Tank	1					
Exterior	20 lb Propane Tank	2					

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the RMS was to identify, quantify and document the location of regulated materials that may be encountered during renovation of the on-site structure. To accomplish this purpose, MSG performed the following scope of work:

- 1) Pre-renovation asbestos-containing material (ACM) survey.
- 2) Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated wastes survey.

METHODOLOGIES

The RMS was conducted on December 11, 2018. Methodologies employed during the completion of each task of the RMS are detailed below.

ACM Survey Procedures

The ACM survey was performed in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763. The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations govern demolition and renovation activities in which asbestos is present. The NESHAP rule distinguishes between Regulated Asbestos-Containing Materials (RACM) that would readily release asbestos fibers when damaged or disturbed and those materials that are unlikely to result in significant fiber release during renovation activities. The purpose of this survey is to determine if ACM within the Site building are RACM and thus, subject to the NESHAP, and to comply with the Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration (MIOSHA) and guidelines set forth in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Regulations Standards 29 CFR 1910.1101.

RACM, as defined by NESHAP, is classified into four parts, (1) friable asbestos material, (2) Category I nonfriable ACM (packing, gaskets, floor tile and roofing products) that has become friable, (3) Category I nonfriable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting or abrading, or (4) Category II non-friable ACM (all other ACM products) that has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation operations.

The suspect ACM identified during this survey was grouped into homogeneous materials (i.e. similar materials which are uniform in color and texture) and:

- Described and quantified it in linear feet (LF) or square feet (SF);
- Identified and classified as friable or non-friable;
- Assessed as being in good, fair or poor condition;

- Assigned an EPA classification type (surfacing material, thermal system insulation or miscellaneous);
- Classified as RACM or non-RACM; and
- Sampled, or identified as presumed ACM (PACM).

MSG performed services associated with the ACM survey in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. The ACM survey included a systematic visual inspection of readily accessible areas of the Site building. Destructive sampling methods were used and suspect ACM samples were collected by State of Michigan Accredited Asbestos Inspector, Kory McKay (Accreditation Number A47903). Based on the quantity of each classification of material, MSG collected samples of each suspect ACM in accordance with EPA guidelines.

Universal Wastes and Hazardous Material Survey Procedures

MSG identified and inventoried universal wastes and hazardous materials by a visual reconnaissance of the Site. Materials were identified, described, and quantified to the extent possible; however, no equipment or containers were opened and/or sampled as part of this survey.

A hazardous material, as defined in OSHA 29 CFR 1910.1200, is any item or chemical which is a "health hazard" or "physical hazard", including the following:

- Chemicals that are carcinogens, toxic or highly toxic agents, reproductive toxins, irritants, corrosives, hepatotoxins, nephrotoxins, neurotoxins, agents that act on the hematopoietic system, and agents that damage the lungs, skin, eyes, or mucous membranes;
- Chemicals that are combustible liquids, compressed gases, explosives, flammable liquids, flammable solids, organic peroxides, oxidizers, pyrophorics, unstable (reactive) or water-reactive;
- Chemicals that, in the course of normal handling, use or storage, may produce or release dusts, gases, fumes, vapors, mists or smoke which have any of the above characteristics; and
- Any item or chemical which, when being transported or moved, is a risk to public safety or an environmental hazard, and is regulated as such by one or more of the following:
 - DOT Department of Transportation; Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR 100-180);
 - IMO International Maritime Organization; International Maritime Dangerous Goods (IMDG) Code;
 - o IATA International Air Transport Association; Dangerous Goods Regulations;
 - o ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization; Technical Instructions; and
 - AF Air Force "INTERSERVICE" Manual, Preparing Hazmat for Military Air Shipments (AFMAN 24-204).

Hazardous materials may also include:

- Any item or chemical listed in the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) *List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities*, dated September 1992.
- Noticeable as inventory under the reporting requirements of the Hazardous Chemical Reporting (40 CFR Part 302).
- An environmental release under the reporting requirements of the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right To Know (40 CFR Part 372) or under Part 201, Environmental Remediation of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (Part 201) and Part 213, Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (Part 213).

These would include chemicals with special characteristics which, in the opinion of the manufacturer, can cause harm to people, plants, or animals when released by spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other receptacles).

Universal wastes are waste that comes primarily from consumer products containing mercury, lead, cadmium or other substances that are hazardous to human health and the environment. These items cannot be discarded in household trash nor disposed of in landfills but have less stringent handling and disposal requirements than hazardous waste streams. In Michigan, universal wastes are regulated by the MDEQ Office of Waste Management and Radiological Protection under Part 111 of Act 451 and the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 under 40 CFR Part 273. Universal waste is also regulated by the US Department of Transportation (US DOT) under 49 CFR Parts 171 through 180. Most of the universal waste requirements overseen by the DEQ are addressed by R 299.9228 of Part 111 of 1994 P.A. 451, as amended and 40 CFR Part 273. These regulations are designed to encourage proper collection, recycling, treatment, or disposal of these wastes.

Examples of universal waste are mercury-containing equipment (e.g. thermostats, barometers, manometers, temperature and pressure gauges, and mercury switches), nickel-cadmium and spent lead-acid batteries, lamps (e.g. incandescent, fluorescent, high intensity discharge, neon, mercury vapor, and high pressure sodium and metal halide), pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) containing transformers and light ballasts, stored chemical and/or petroleum products, etc. In Michigan, Part 111 also includes pharmaceutical and consumer electronics as additional types of universal wastes.

Other Regulated Materials

This RMS also included identifying and inventorying other regulated materials which may pose physical or chemical concerns during renovation of the Site building(s) including chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) containing devices, tanks, vessels, equipment, and building materials that may contain or become contaminated with hazardous materials.

Specifically, CFC containing devices are regulated Under Title VI of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Stratospheric Protection Division of the EPA manages programs protecting the stratospheric ozone layer. Title 40, Part 82 of the Code of Federal Regulations contains the EPA regulations protecting the ozone layer. The RMS survey of the premises identified and quantified any CFC containers and CFC containing equipment, which could include the following:

- Drinking fountains, air conditioners, refrigerators
- Air conditioners in control panels and other process equipment
- Water and air chillers
- Roof top and stand-alone air conditioners
- Cafeteria equipment: freezers, walk-in coolers/freezers
- CFC canisters and cylinders

In Michigan, underground storage tanks are regulated under the authority of Part 211, Underground Storage Tank Regulations, of Act 451 of 1994, as amended, and the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Rules (MUSTR). Therefore, this survey included whether any evidence of underground storage tanks and related piping and dispensers were present at the Site.

MSG also surveyed for the presence of equipment, other storage tanks, and materials that may contain or be contaminated by regulated chemicals. These include, but may not be comprehensive of:

- Above ground storage tanks
- Oil-containing equipment (hydraulic equipment, blowers, fans, motors, elevators, compressors, etc.)
- Fire brick
- Contaminated building materials (concrete, block walls, wood, plaster, etc.) with staining, odor or other signs of a hazardous chemical release

SURVEY RESULTS

The following subsections include a discussion of the RMS results. Photographs of the residence are located in the *Attachment A, Photo Log.* The results of this report are valid as of the report date, subject to the limitations presented in *Attachment B, Limitations*.

ACM Survey Results

MSG identified thirteen (13) homogenous materials that were suspect as asbestos containing during the ACM survey. Twenty nine (29) bulk samples were collected from these suspect homogeneous materials and were submitted to Mannik & Smith Group Analytical Laboratories (MSGAL) for laboratory analysis of Bulk Materials by Polarized Light Microscopy using USEPA Method 600/R-93/116. MSGAL is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) to analyzed bulk samples for asbestos content. Of the aforementioned suspect homogenous materials identified during this ACM survey, laboratory analysis found three (3) homogenous materials (samples 3-1, 4-1 and 10-1) contained greater than 1% asbestos.

A point-count quantification procedure (PCQM) allows for lower detection limits than calibrated visual estimation (CVES), which is the quantification method widely used in asbestos analysis via Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM). If the asbestos content is found to contain less than 10% asbestos as determined by a method other than point counting by PLM, it can only be treated as non-ACM if verified to contain less than 1% by the PCQM. If not point-counted, the sample must be assumed to be greater than 1% and thus considered and treated as ACM. It is MSG's experience that point counting samples with an estimated PLM asbestos content of more than 3% does not yield significantly different analytical results. Two samples (3-1 and 8-1) were point count confirmed.

Suspect ACM sample locations are depicted on the attached figure. See *Table 1, Asbestos Sampling Results* for a listing of homogeneous materials identified by MSG during this survey. A copy of the analytical reports including chains of custody is attached in *Attachment C, Analytical Reports and Chains of Custody*.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Survey Results

Universal wastes, hazardous materials, and/or other regulated materials wastes were identified within the Site building. Quantities identified are provided in *Table 2, Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory.*

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Asbestos Containing Materials

Of the thirteen (13) homogenous materials collected as part of the ACM survey, three (3) homogenous materials contained asbestos greater than 1% (samples 3-1, 4-1 and 10-1) with these three (3) homogenous materials being classified as RACM. All materials containing ACM must be disposed of in a licensed landfill.

Prior to renovation, a notification of intent to demolish shall be made to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD) and Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA), Asbestos Program. Notification, according to the procedure described by the NESHAP, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Subpart M, Notification, for renovation and demolition projects should be followed. A copy of this notification form is provided in *Attachment D, Notification of Intent to Renovate/Demolish*. This form shall be completed by the contractor who completes the renovation.

If additional suspect ACMs are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, shall be surveyed, tested, and abated if warranted. If suspect ACMs are determined to be RACM that would be disturbed during renovation activities, the RACM must be properly removed by a licensed asbestos abatement contractor.

Category I and Category II Non-Friable ACM may often be left in place during renovation activities if the ACM is not subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading or has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material during the course of renovation.

Universal Wastes, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials

The universal waste, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials (see Table 2) must be properly characterized (as necessary) and properly removed from the Site building for recycling and/or disposed of in accordance with Parts 111, 115, or 147 of Michigan Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended. If additional universal wastes, hazardous materials, and other regulated materials are discovered during renovation activities in areas that were determined during this survey to be structurally unsound and unsafe, inaccessible, concealed and/or in buried areas, these materials shall be characterized (as necessary) and properly removed in accordance with the above-mentioned regulations.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the above information please contact us at 517-316-9232.

Sincerely,

Kory McKay Environmental Scientist Accreditation Number A47903

-LP

Charlie Bush Senior Project Manager Accreditation Number A34293

Attachments





TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

721 N. Capitol Avenue, Suite 2, Lansing, Michigan 48906 Tel: 517.316.9232 Fax: 517.316.9233 www.MannikSmithGroup.com





Duct Wrap (10 SF total)

Tan 12x12 (75 SF total)

Sink Undercoating (5 SF total)



TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client		Ingham Count	v Land Ba	ank Authority						
Survey Loc	ation	2109 S Rundle								
Survey D	ate	December 11,	2018		-	-				
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-1	1	AS 1-1	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1050 SF
RM-2	1	AS 1-2	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1050 SF
RM-4	1	AS 1-3	HA-1	Drywall	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	1050 SF
RM-1	1	AS 2-1	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	200 SF
RM-1	1	AS 2-2	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	200 SF
RM-1	1	AS 2-3	HA-2	Plaster	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	200 SF
RM-3	1	AS 3-1	HA-3	Sink undercoat	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	1.5% Chrysotile	5 SF
RM-3	1	AS 3-2	HA-3	Sink undercoat	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	5 SF
RM-3	1	AS 4-1	HA-4	Duct wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	45% Chrysotile	10 SF
RM-3	1	AS 4-2	HA-4	Duct wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	10 SF
RM-3	1	AS 4-3	HA-4	Duct wrap	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	10 SF
RM-3	1	AS 5-1	HA-5	Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	30 SF
RM-3	1	AS 5-2	HA-5	Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	30 SF
RM-4	1	AS 6-1	HA-6	Bathroom Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	250 SF
RM-4	1	AS 6-2	HA-6	Bathroom Wall mastic	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	250 SF
Exterior	E	AS 7-1	HA-7	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	75 SF
Exterior	E	AS 7-2	HA-7	Window Glaze	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	75 SF

TABLE 1 Asbestos Sampling Results

Client		Ingham Count	ty Land Ba	ink Authority						
Survey Loca		2109 S Rundl		÷						
Survey Da	ate	December 11,	2018		E //		55.4			
Functional Area	Floor	Sample ID	HM #	Homogeneous Material Group	Friable/Non Friable	Condition	EPA Classification	RACM	Asbestos	Quantity
RM-2	1	AS 8-1	HA-8	Floor Underlayment	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF
RM-2	1	AS 8-2	HA-8	Floor Underlayment	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	100 SF
RM-3	1	AS 9-1	HA-9	Gray 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	75 SF
RM-3	1	AS 9-2	HA-9	Gray 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	75 SF
RM-3	1	AS 10-1	HA-10	Tan 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	2% Chrysotile	75 SF
RM-3	1	AS 10-2	HA-10	Tan 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	Yes	NA	75 SF
RM-4	1	AS 11-1	HA-11	Black 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	55 SF
RM-4	1	AS 11-2	HA-11	Black 12x12	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	55 SF
Exterior	E	AS 12-1	HA-12	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	175 LF
Exterior	E	AS 12-2	HA-12	Exterior Caulk	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	175 LF
Roof	E	AS 13-1	HA-13	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	600 SF
Roof	E	AS 13-2	HA-13	Roof Shingle	Non-Friable	Good	Miscellaneous	No	No	600 SF

Table 2Universal Waste, Hazardous Materials, and Other Regulated Materials Inventory2109 S Rundle Ave.Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan

Universal Waste Inventory							
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
RM-3, RM-1, Exterior, RM-4	CFL light bulb	7					
RM-1	Thermostat	1					
RM-1	Smoke detector	1					
RM-3, Exterior	Fire Extinguisher	2					
Exterior	Fluorescent bulb	2					
H	azardous Materials Inventory						
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Can	7					
Exterior	1 Gallon Paint Thinner	2					
Exterior	Spray Can	10					
Exterior	16.4 Oz Propane Tank	1					
Exterior	20 lb Propane Tank	2					
Other Regulated Materials Inventory							
Location	Type of Waste	Approximate Quantity					
-	-	-					



Ingham County Land Bank 2109 S Rundle Ave, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/11/2018

Property Photos









Ingham County Land Bank 2109 S Rundle Ave, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/11/2018

Samples



Sample ID: AS 1-1 Location: RM-1 Notes: Drywall



Sample ID: AS 2-1 Location: RM-1 Notes: Plaster



Sample ID: AS 3-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Sink Undercoating



Sample ID: AS 4-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Duct Wrap



Sample ID: AS 10-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Tan 12x12 Tile



Sample ID: AS 5-1 Location: RM-3 Notes: Wall Mastic

Ingham County Land Bank 2109 S Rundle Ave, Lansing, MI Photographs taken by: Kory McKay on 12/11/2018

Samples



Sample ID: AS 6-1 Location: RM-4 Notes: Bathroom Wall Mastic



Sample ID: AS 7-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Window Glaze



Sample ID: AS 8-1 Location: RM-2 Notes: Floor Underlayment



Sample ID: AS 11-1 Location: RM-4 Notes: Black 12x12 Tile



Sample ID: AS 12-1 Location: Exterior Notes: Exterior Caulk



Sample ID: AS 13-1 Location: Roof Notes: Roof Shingle





REGULATED MATERIALS SURVEY LIMITATIONS

The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc. (MSG) performed its services associated with this Regulated Materials Survey (RMS) in general accordance with guidelines set forth in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 763, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OHSA) 29 CFR 1926.62, and in conformance with the care and skill ordinarily used by other reputable environmental consulting firms practicing under similar conditions, at the same time, and in the same or similar locality. This RMS and related documentation are site-specific, which means they pertain to the conditions of the site surveyed.

Unless otherwise noted, MSG's RMS is limited to accessible areas. Areas determined to be not structurally sound, safely reached, limited by excessive accumulated obstructions, require specialized equipment to access, in operable windows, etc., are not included in this survey. There may be areas where regulated materials, such as suspected asbestos-containing materials (SACM) and lead containing paint cannot be viewed and/or tested. MSG shall not be responsible for identifying all SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials located in inaccessible locations, including by not limited to, above a plaster ceiling, behind a wall, embedded in concrete, buried, confined spaces, unsafe areas, or otherwise not readily identifiable.

Destructive sampling will only be conducted when permission has been granted by the owner. Destructive survey locations are limited to areas where hidden SACM, lead containing paint, or other hazardous materials is reasonably thought to be present and sampling can be conducted in a safe manner. If regulated materials are found during the course of demolition and/or renovation activities that are not listed in this report, the material should be assumed as asbestos-containing, lead containing, or hazardous until it can be sampled and analyzed at an accredited laboratory and safe work practices should always be used if those areas are to be disturbed.

MSG has prepared a logical assessment program to reduce the client's risk of discovering unknown regulated materials and/or hazardous substances. The presence of subsurface regulated materials and/or hazardous substances is based solely on surface observations and/or information provided by others. Descriptions of subsurface conditions provided in this report are not warranted to be complete or accurate. This risk may be reduced by more extensive exploration on the site, but even with additional exploration, it is not possible to completely eliminate the risk of discovering regulated materials and/or hazardous conditions. It cannot and should not be assumed that samples collected and conditions observed at the time of the RMS are representative of an area that has not been sampled and/or tested.

In preparing this report, MSG may have relied on information obtained from or provided by others. MSG makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of this information gathered through outside sources or subcontracted services. No warranty, guarantee, or certification of any kind, expressed or implied, at common law or created by statute, is extended, made, or intended by rendering these environmental consulting services or by furnishing this written report. Environmental conditions and regulations are subject to constant change and reinterpretation. One should not assume that any on-site conditions and/or regulatory statutes or rules will remain constant after MSG has completed the scope of work for this project. Furthermore, because the facts stated in this report are subject to professional interpretation, differing conclusions could be reached by other environmental professionals.

The report is intended to offer support to a building owner, construction manager, general contractor, abatement contractor, architect, and/or other parties authorized by the owner in generally locating asbestos-containing materials (ACM), lead containing paint, universal and hazardous wastes, and/or other regulated materials. This report does not have the required components to serve as an Asbestos Project Design document, Asbestos and/or Lead Containing Paint Abatement Work Plan, and/or a Health and Safety Plan. Therefore, this report should not be utilized as a project specification document. The results, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in

TECHNICAL SKILL. CREATIVE SPIRIT.

Regulated Materials Survey Limitations.Docx

this report are based only on conditions that were noted during this survey. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. Quantities have been conservatively estimated and sampling locations have been described representatively; however, current site conditions should be field-verified by contractors bidding on and/or prior to abatement work.



ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAINS OF CUSTODY



00013

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864	-	Received Analyzed Reported	12/17/18	Project Order # Project #	2109 S Rundle Ave O0013 I1440003
		BULK SAN	1PLE AN	ALYSIS SUMI	MARY	
	Client ID AS 1-1 Layer 1 Drywall Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 20% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Joint Compoun Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	d 0.00% fibrous. tos	00013-1		ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 2-1 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-2	L	ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 2-2 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 20% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-3	l	ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 2-3 Layer 1 Plaster Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Skim Coat Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-4	l	ocation RM-1
	Client ID AS 1-2 Layer 1 Drywall Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Joint Compoun Type Non Detect White, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	d 0.00% fibrous.	00013-5	L	ocation RM-2

Analytical Method:	US EPA 600/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations
Analyst:	Joshua P Lucchesi Quality Manager	NIST-NVLAP
Reviewer:	Christopher A Claes Laboratory Director	No. 600212-0

00013

Client The Mannik & Smith Grou	p, Inc. Received	12/13/18	Project	2109 S Rundle Ave
2193 Association Dr., Suite	e 200 Analyzed	12/17/18	Order #	00013
Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported	12/17/18	Project #	11440003
	BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMM	ARY	
L Client ID AS 1-3 Layer 1	Lab ID Layer 2	00013-6	L	ocation RM-4
Drywall	Joint Compound			
TypeNon Detect0.00%White, homogenous, fibrous.100% non-asbestos	Type Non Detect 0.00% White, homogenous, fibrous. 100% non-asbestos			
Client ID AS 3-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-7	L	ocation RM-3
Sink Undercoat				
Type Chrysotile 1.50% Black, homogenous, fibrous. 98.5% non-asbestos Point count performed.				
Client ID AS 3-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-8	l	ocation RM-3
Sink Undercoat				
Type Not Analyzed - Black, homogenous, fibrous.				
Client ID AS 4-1	Lab ID	00013-9		ocation RM-3
Layer 1				
Duct Wrap				
Type Chrysotile 45.00% Gray, homogenous, fibrous. 55% non-asbestos				
Client ID AS 4-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-10	l	ocation RM-3
Duct Wrap				
Type Not Analyzed - Gray, homogenous, fibrous.				
Apply tipel Mathedy UC EDA C	00/D 02/116 by Dologized Light Mis			Accreditations
Analytical Method: US EPA 6 Analyst: Joshua P	00/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Mic Lucchesi Quality Manager	озсору		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP
	her A Claes Laboratory Director			No. 600212-0

00013

Client The Mannik & Smith	Group, Inc.	Received	12/13/18	Project	2109 S Rundle Ave		
2193 Association Dr	., Suite 200	Analyzed	12/17/18	Order #	Order # 00013		
Okemos, MI, 48864		Reported	12/17/18	Project #	11440003		
	BULK SA	AMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMA	RY			
Client ID AS Layer 1	4-3	Lab ID	00013-11	l	ocation RM-3		
Duct Wrap							
Type Not Analyzed Gray, homogenous, fibro	- us.						
Client ID AS Layer 1 Wall Mastic	5-1	Lab ID	00013-12	l	Location RM-3		
Type Non Detect 0.1 Brown, homogenous, fibro 100% non-asbestos	00% Dus.						
Client ID AS Layer 1	5-2	Lab ID	00013-13	l	Location RM-3		
Wall Mastic Type <u>Non Detect</u> 0.4 Brown, homogenous, fibro 100% non-asbestos	00% ous.						
Client ID AS		Lab ID	00013-14	l	_ocation RM-4		
Layer 1	Layer 2						
Bathroom Wall Mastic	Tile						
Type Non Detect 0.1 Brown, homogenous, fibro 100% non-asbestos	00% Type Non Detectors Gray, homogenous 100% non-asb	s, fibrous.					
Client ID AS Layer 1	6-2 Layer 2	Lab ID	00013-15	l	∟ocation RM-4		
Bathroom Wall Mastic	Tile						
Type Non Detect 0.0 Brown, homogenous, fibro 100% non-asbestos		s, fibrous.					
Applytical Mather					Accreditations		
	PA 600/R-93/116 by Polariz ua P Lucchesi Quality Ma	-	гозсору		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP		
	stopher A Claes Laboratory	-			No. 600212-0		

00013

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group	, Inc. Rec	eived 12/	/13/18	Project	2109 S Rundle Ave
	2193 Association Dr., Suite	200 Ana	alyzed 12/	/17/18	Order #	00013
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Rep	oorted 12/	/17/18	Project #	11440003
		BULK SAMPL	e anal	YSIS SUMMARY		
<u> </u>	Client ID AS 7-1 Layer 1	La	bID O	0013-16	L	ocation Exterior
	Window Glaze					
	Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos					
	Client ID AS 7-2 Layer 1	La	b ID O	0013-17	L	ocation Exterior
	Window Glaze					
Туре	Non Detect 0.00%					
	n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos					
	Client ID AS 8-1 Layer 1	La	b ID O	0013-18	L	ocation RM-2
F	loor Underlayment					
	Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos					
	Client ID AS 8-2 Layer 1	La	b ID O	0013-19	L	ocation RM-2
F	loor Underlayment					
	Non Detect 0.00% n, homogenous, fibrous. .00% non-asbestos					
	Client ID AS 9-1		b ID O	0013-20	L	ocation RM-3
	Layer 1	Layer 2				
Type	Gray 12x12 Non Detect 0.00%	Mastic Type Non Detect 0.	.00%			
Gray,	, homogenous, fibrous. 200% non-asbestos	Black, homogenous, fibro 100% non-asbestos				
Analytica	al Method: US EPA 60	0/R-93/116 by Polarized Ligh	ht Microso	CODV		Accreditations
Analyst:		, .		. /		NIST-NVLAP
Reviewe	r: Christophe	er A Claes Laboratory Direct			No. 600212-0	

00013

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group 2193 Association Dr., Suite Okemos, MI, 48864		Received Analyzed Reported	12/17/18	Project Order # Project #	2109 S Rundle Ave O0013 I1440003
		BULK SAN	/IPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMA	ARY	
	Client ID AS 9-2 Layer 1 Gray 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Black, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-21	l	∟ocation RM-3
9	Client ID AS 10-1 Layer 1 Tan 12x12 Chrysotile 2.00% homogenous, fibrous. 98% non-asbestos nt count performed.	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Beige, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-22	L	ocation RM-3
Type Tan, I	Client ID AS 10-2 Layer 1 Tan 12x12 Not Analyzed - homogenous, fibrous.	Layer 2 Mastic Type Non Detect Beige, homogenous, 100% non-asbes	0.00% fibrous.	00013-23	l	ocation RM-3
	Client ID AS 11-1 Layer 1 Black 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos		Lab ID	00013-24	l	₋ocation RM-4
	Client ID AS 11-2 Layer 1 Black 12x12 Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos		Lab ID	00013-25	l	∟ocation RM-4
Analytica Analyst: Reviewer	Joshua P L	0/R-93/116 by Polarizec ucchesi Quality Mana er A Claes Laboratory D	iger	roscopy		Accreditations NIST-NVLAP No. 600212-0

00013

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, I	nc. Received	12/13/18 Project	2109 S Rundle Ave
	2193 Association Dr., Suite 20	0 Analyzed	12/17/18 Order #	O0013
	Okemos, MI, 48864	Reported	12/17/18 Project	# I1440003
		BULK SAMPLE AN	ALYSIS SUMMARY	
	Client ID AS 12-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-26	Location Exterior
	Exterior Caulk			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 12-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-27	Location Exterior
	Exterior Caulk			
	Non Detect 0.00% , homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 13-1 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-28	Location Roof
	Roof Shingle			
	Non Detect0.00%homogenous, fibrous.00% non-asbestos			
	Client ID AS 13-2 Layer 1	Lab ID	00013-29	Location Roof
	Roof Shingle			
	Non Detect 0.00% homogenous, fibrous. 00% non-asbestos			

Analytical Method:	US EPA 600/R-93/116 by Polarized Light Microscopy	Accreditations
Analyst:	Joshua P Lucchesi Quality Manager	NIST-NVLAP
Reviewer:	Christopher A Claes Laboratory Director	No. 600212-0

2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time Received by 12/13/18 1) 7

Relinquished by Date and Time N ŵ 100

Client	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	, Inc. City, State Lansing, MI	Zip Code	*Bulk Samples Only*
Address	2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	Contact	Phone (517) 316-9232	TTP Point Count
Project	2109 S Rundle Ave	Project # 11440003 [Email cbush@manniksmithgroup.com	Fax	Date Sampled:
Turn Around		24 Hour 24 Hour 72 Hour 1 W	1 Week Report to 🖌 Email 🗌 Fax	12/11/2018
Lab ID	Customer ID	Material Type	Material Location	Notes
	AS 1-1	Drywall	RM-1	and the second
	AS 2-1	Plaster	RM-1	
	AS 2-2	Plaster	RM-1	
	AS 2-3	Plaster	RM-1	
	AS 1-2	Drywall	RM-2	
	AS 1-3	Drywall	RM-4	
	AS 3-1	Sink undercoat	RM-3	
	AS 3-2	Sink undercoat	RM-3	
	AS 4-1	Duct wrap	RM-3	
	AS 4-2	Duct wrap	RM-3	
	AS 4-3	Duct wrap	RM-3	
	AS 5-1	Wall mastic	RM-3	
	AS 5-2	Wall mastic	RM-3	
	AS 6-1	Bathroom Wall mastic	RM-4	
	AS 6-2	Bathroom Wall mastic	RM-4	
	AS 7-1	Window Glaze	Exterior	
a the man the second	AS 7-2	Window Glaze	Exterior	
•	AS 8-1	Floor Underlayment	RM-2	
	AS 8-2	Floor Underlayment	RM-2	
	AS 9-1	Gray 12x12	RM-3	
Comments:				

The Mannik & Smith Group

Analytical Laboratories

Order Number:

00013

Chain of Custody

2365 S. Haggerty Road, Suite 100, Canton, MI 48188 Phone: (734) 397-3100 Fax: (734) 397-3131 Email: cclaes@manniksmithgroup.com

Date and Time

Received by

Relinquished by Date and Time N 5 2

					Comments:
	Roof	Roof Shingle		AS 13-2	
	Roof	Roof Shingle		AS 13-1	
	Exterior	Exterior Caulk		AS 12-2	
	Exterior	Exterior Caulk		AS 12-1	
	RM-4	Black 12x12		AS 11-2	
	RM-4	Black 12x12		AS 11-1	
	RM-3	Tan 12x12		AS 10-2	
	RM-3	Tan 12x12		AS 10-1	
	RM-3	Gray 12x12		AS 9-2	
	RM-3			AS -NaN	
Notes	Material Location	Material Type		Customer ID	Lab ID
12/11/2018	Report to 🗹 Email 🗌 Fax	マ 72 H	24 Hour 48 Hour		Turn Around
Date Sampled:		11440003 Email cbush@manniksmithgroup.com	Project #	2109 S Rundle Ave	Project
ITP Point Count	Phone (517) 316-9232	Contact Charlie Bush	ite 200	2193 Association Drive, Suite 200	Address
Bulk Samples Only	Zip Code	City, State Lansing, MI	o, Inc.	The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	Client

The Mannik & Smith Group

Analytical Laboratories

Order Number:

Chain of Custody

2 of 2



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT	TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (MDEQ) AIR QUALITY DIVISION NESHAP, 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS (LARA), ASBESTOS PROGRAM, P.A. 135 OF 1986, AS AMENDED, Section 220 (1-4) or (8)
DEQ/LARA USE ONLY Postmark Date// Rec'd Date/ Emergency Date// Valid No OK Send Def Ltr. Date of Def Ltr.	3. ABATEMENT CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:
Comments:	4. DEMOLITION CONTRACTOR: Internal Project #: Name:
Calculate LARA Asbestos Project Fee: (1% Project Fee)	Contact: Phone:
Total Project Cost: x 0.01 =	5. FACILITY OWNER: ("Facility" includes Bridges)
Type of Contractor: License No.:	Name:
Licensing Authority:	Mailing Address:
1. NOTIFICATION:	City/State/Zip: E-mail:
Date of Notification:	Contact: Phone:
Date of Revision(s):	6. FACILITY DESCRIPTION:
Notification Type: 🗌 Original 📋 Revised 📋 Canceled 🔲 Annual	Facility Name:
Mark appropriate boxes: (both DEQ and LARA may apply):	Location Address/Description:
DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] DEQ (NESHAP) [260 In. ft./160 sq. ft. or more is threshold] Planned Renovation Emergency Renovation Scheduled Demolition – 10 working days notice Intentional Burn – 10 working days notice	If Apt. # of units: City/Twp. State: County: Nearest Crossroad: Size: (sq. ft.)

Ordered Demolition	
LARA (MIOSHA) [Will n	not accept annual notifications]
Demo Reno Encan	(>10 ln ft /15 sq ft) 10 calendar

Demo, Reno, Encap. (>10 ln. ft./15 sq. ft.) 10 calendar days notic	се
Emergency Renovation/Encapsulation	

Lineigency	Renovation/Linca	psulation

2. PROJECT SCHEDULE:

	START DATE	END DATE	Name:	
* Renovation			Location Address:	
+Asb. Removal			City/State/Zip:	
+Demolition:			8. WASTE TRANSPORTER 1:	WASTE TRANSPORTER 2:
Encapsulation:			Name:	
	Please indicate the anticip		Address:	
work hours for the	purpose of scheduling a cor	npliance inspection.	City/State/Zip:	
	Days of the Week	Work Hours	Phono:	

Days of the Week

Asb. Removal:
Demolition:

Encapsulation:

* Includes setup, build enclosure, asbestos removal, demobilizing, etc. +Include only those dates you are conducting asbestos removal/demo.

Check here if this is a multi-phased project, attach a schedule showing the start/end date of each phase.

10. IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?

It <u>must</u> be removed prior to demolition.)

IS ASBESTOS PRESENT?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	To be removed prior to demolition						
Estimate the amount of asbesto	s: Include F		RACM to be	RACM to be		e ACM <u>not</u> ior to demo.			
(Regulated Asbestos Containing Material) to be removed, encapsulated, etc. Also include the amount			Removed	Encapsulated	Category I	Category II	Units of M	Measure	
							🗌 Ln. Ft.	🗌 Ln. M.	
and type (floor tile, roofing, etc.) of non-friable Category						Sq. Ft.			
I and/or Category II ACM that will							— .	_ ·	
to demolition. (NOTE: In a demolition, cementatious ACM cannot remain in a structure, as it is likely to							🗌 Cu. Ft.*	🗌 Cu.M.*	
become regulated in the demolitic	,	,	*\/olumo (cubic ft	(motors) should be	o usod oply if u	able to measu	ro by linear/cau		

Gov't Agency Ordering Demo:

Name/Title of Person Signing Order:

Specific Location(s) in Facility:

7. DISPOSAL SITE:

Phone:

notification.

Date of Order:

*Volume (cubic ft./meters) should be used only if unable to measure by linear/square measure (example: asbestos has fallen off of surface).

Age: _____ Present Use: _____ Prior Use: _____

9. ORDERED DEMOLITIONS: (See NESHAP regulations for definition of "Ordered Demolition.") A copy of the official Order must accompany this

_____ Date Ordered to Begin: _

NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO RENOVATE/DEMOLISH (continue

11.	11. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Complete A) for Renovation (asbestos removal/encapsulation) and/or B) for Demolition:				
	A) RENOVATION: Mark all surfaces/types of RACM to be removed: Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tanks(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile(s) Mag Block Other (describe) Tunnel (s) Tunnel (s)	Encapsulation (for LARA): Mark surfaces/types to be encapsulated Piping Fittings Boiler(s) Tank(s) Beam(s) Duct(s) Tunnel(s) Ceiling Tile Other (describe)	e(s)		
	Method of removal: Describe how the asbestos will be removed from the surface (example: glove bag, scrape with hand tools, cut in sections and carefully lower, etc.):				
	carefully lower, etc.):		—		
	B) DEMOLITION: Describe the method of demolition of facility, bridge, etc., and indicate if complete or partial. If partial, describe which part of facility				
	bridge, etc., will be demolished:				
10	12. ENGINEERING CONTROLS: Describe work practices and engineering controls used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, and				
12.	until proper disposal:	controis used to prevent visible emissions before, during, and after removal, a			
			<u> </u>		
13.	UNEXPECTED ASBESTOS: Describe the steps you intend to follow in becomes friable (crumbled, pulverized, reduced to powder, etc.) and there	n the event that unexpected RACM is found or previously non-friable asbes	stos		
14.	4. PROCEDURE(S) USED TO DETECT THE PRESENCE OF ASBESTOS: A) Indicate how you determined whether or not asbestos is in the facility. If analytical sampling was used, describe method of analysis. (The determination of the presence or absence of asbestos must be made prior to submitting a renovation/demolition notification.):				
	B) Name, address, and phone number of company performing asbestos s	survey:			
	C) Name, accreditation number of inspector, and date of inspection:				
15.		Describe the sudden, unexpected event:			
	Explain how the event caused unsafe conditions, and/or would cause equipment damage and/or an unreasonable financial burden:				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
16.	16. I certify that an individual trained in the provisions of 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M, will be on-site during the renovation and during demolition involving RACM above the threshold and/or during an ordered demolition. Evidence that this person has completed the required training will be available for inspection at the renovation or demolition site.				
	Signature of Owner or Abatement Contractor Date	Signature of Owner or Demolition Contractor Date			
17.	17. Signature Requirements for Projects with Negative Pressure Enclosures: (required by LARA) Per Section 221(1)(2) of P.A. 135 of 1986, as amended, clearance air monitoring is required for any asbestos abatement project involving 10 linear feet/15 square feet or more of friable material which is performed within a negative pressure enclosure. <i>I (the building owner or lessee)</i> have been advised by the contractor of my responsibility under Act 135 to have clearance air monitoring performed on this project.				
	Signature of Building Owner or Lessee Date NOTE: It is not mandatory that a signed copy be sent to LARA unless reques and made part of your records before the project begins.	Signature of Asbestos Abatement Contractor Representative Date sted. For affected projects, this section of the notification form must be completed, signed	d,		
18.	I certify that the above information is correct:				
	Printed Name of Owner/Operator Date	Signature of Owner/Operator Date			
MAILING ADDRESSES/PHONE NUMBERS: (See Item 1 to determine which agency requirements/regulations are applicable to your project.)					
mail	Public Act 135 of 1986, as amended, Section 220 (1-4) or (8), to address below. For more info visit: ://www.michigan.gov/asbestos	For NESHAP Demolitions/Renovations , 40 CFR , Part 61 , Subpart please use the e-submittal process. For more information v <u>http://www.michigan.gov/air</u> , under Air Links click on Asbestos NESH Program.	visit		
MIOSHA Asbestos Program LARA, CSHD P.O. Box 30671 Lansing, MI 48909-8171		NESHAP Asbestos Program DEQ, AQD P.O. Box 30260 Lansing, MI 48909-7760			
	.636.4551 (office), 517.322.1713 (fax)	517.284.6777 (Office)			